LEHMAN BROTHERS

EQUITY RESEARCH

January 21, 2002

Banks

Industry Overview

Korean Banks and Hynix: Final Fantasy?

SOUTH KOREA Financial Services Asia Banks

Paul Sheehan 852.2869.3001 psheehan@lehman.com

Investment conclusion

□ Hynix exposure continues to plague Korean state banks, but private banks have taken steps to dramatically reduce their outstanding credit.

Summary

- □ Micron is reportedly close to an initial memorandum of understanding to purchase all or substantially all of Hynix's memory production assets, but any such MOU will probably be the beginning rather than the end of negotiations.
- □ As part of any transaction, creditor banks will have to sustain additional losses, with various sources putting Micron's debt forgiveness requests at 57-80% of remaining debt.
- □ KEB, Woori, and Chohung continue to have the largest exposure, with KEB's exposure actually increasing since our last note.
- □ Kookmin, Hana, KorAm, and Shinhan have all written off 100% of their loans to Hynix, reducing overall exposure to the company by 65%+.

The Hynix saga is promising to come to an end, at least insofar as it impacts Korea's major banks—but risks remain high for the company's lead lenders. Now that DRAM pricing has stabilized, Micron is reported to be close to an agreement to acquire Hynix's major memory chip assets, with government officials telling the marketplace to expect a memorandum of understanding before month-end. However, this pending act of closure seems to have led to a wave of excessive exuberance among investors.

Although Hynix is now at, or close to, break-even on an operating basis after the run-up in memory pricing, the company is still unable to service (much less repay) its \$7 billion debt load. We see no reason to believe that an acquisition by Micron would change the underlying economics of the business, and therefore it is generally accepted that Micron will not assume all Hynix's obligations.

Most Korean bankers accepted this fact some time ago, hence the refusal by healthy Korean banks and foreign banks to advance new funds to Hynix. The question remains: how big a write-down will be necessary for Micron to agree to take on Hynix? In our initial look at the problem back in August, we argued that Hynix exposure should at least be classified as 'Doubtful' loans, representing a required reserve of 50% under our methodology. This 50% level seems to have become a baseline, with reports that Micron has asked for a debt haircut of at least 57%—and by some estimates up to 80%.

Although such a deal would provide creditors with some cash, as well as restoring to health and creditworthiness a key client, lead bank KEB has publicly expressed serious reservations about the idea, with executive Lee Youn-soo widely quoted as saying "Creditors have already written off 50% of Hynix's debt through its previous bailout package. We believe that [any] further debt write-off is impossible." Note that KEB has actually increased its Hynix exposure since the beginning of Q3, and stands to lose 21% of its gross equity at a 57% loss level.

Can Creditors Kill A Deal? We think not. Although the government is taking a discreet back seat in negotiations, it will not, in our opinion, permit Hynix to be shut down, nor will it allow creditors to drag out the sale process past all point of reasonable hope. Remember that in the case of Daewoo Motors' sale to GM—a case involving an even larger debtor than Hynix—bank creditors in the end received less than 10% of the face value of their loans. A 43% recovery looks awfully good to us by comparison.

What About A Partial Sale? Another potential structure receiving attention is one which would have Micron purchasing only certain Hynix assets for \$2.5–3.5 billion, with creditors releasing their liens on the specific assets but

deferring cancellation of Hynix debt for a future negotiation with the company, rather than with Micron. We do not believe that this option would be likely produce more value for creditors overall, as it would strip the company of its most valuable assets and leave a less viable entity, which could defer its day of final judgement by using the sale proceeds.

From a bank sector perspective, we would be negatively disposed to any partial sale of assets which does not include segregation of proceeds and mandates they be used to pay down existing debt.

Exposure Update: Government bank KDB continues to have the largest total exposure, but among the private banks the consolidated Woori Financial Group (with lead bank Hanvit Bank) has now passed senior lender KEB as the largest creditor, with almost KRW1.1 trillion in gross exposure. Note from Table 2 that KEB's own exposure continues to increase, even as the "good banks" have largely eliminated their loans to Hynix.

Among institutions we cover, Kookmin, Shinhan, KorAm, and Hana have written down all Hynix loans to zero, dropping gross exposure by 65% or more in every case. We believe that as part of the Corporate Restructuring Promotion Act these banks have released their claims on Hynix, and forfeited their rights to any future recoveries on these loans, in return for not having to put up additional working capital.

Table 1: Gross Hynix Exposure by Bank

	Gross Exposure, KRW MM			
Bank	Total	Loans	Bonds	
Korea Development Bank	1,100,000	NA	NA	
KEB	920,000	200,000	720,000	
Chohung	706,000	282,500	423,500	
Woori	1,080,500	844,400	233,300	
KFB	262,000	NA	NA	
Shinhan	125,000	-	125,000	
KorAm	55,000	-	55,000	
Kookmin	174,000	-	174,000	
Hana	56,600	-	56,600	

Source: Company reports.

Table 2: Change in Exposure: 9/1/01-Present

_	Gross Exposure, KRW MM			
Bank	Current	at 9/1/01	% Change	
Korea Development Bank	1,100,000	NA	NA	
KEB	920,000	875,294	+5.1%	
Chohung	706,000	719,200	-1.8%	
Woori*	1,080,500	838,000	+28.9%	
KFB	262,000	206,395	+26.9%	
Shinhan	125,000	366,000	-65.8%	
KorAm	55,000	177,000	-68.9%	
Kookmin	174,000	638,000	-72.7%	
Hana	56,600	181,000	-68.7%	

* 9/1/01 figure is non-consolidated Hanvit Bank exposure only. Source: Company reports.

Note that we consider KEB, Woori, and Chohung to be severely exposed to Hynix even post-4Q01 provisions—a very real concern for potential investors given the government's stated intention of full privatization of all three banks. Of the three, lead banker KEB would sustain the largest capital hit assuming a 57% loss ratio, at 21% of equity even after a profitable FY2001. Likewise, Woori's consolidated reserve shortfall of 13% of equity and Chohung's 9.9% are extremely material to our analysis.

In contrast, JP Morgan Chase's much lamented \$2.6 billion exposure to Enron—even if fully unsecured—gives rise to a reserve shortfall-to-equity position of only 4.8%, less than one quarter of the magnitude of KEB's exposure.

Table 3: Reserve Shortfall Versus Equity

		Loan Loss Reserves				
	Total Exposure	Required @57% loss	Actual Reserves	Reserve Shortfall	Shortfall/Equity	As of
KEB	920,000	524,400	174,800	349,600	20.6%	12/31/01
Woori	1,080,500	615,885	161,800	454,085	13.0%	09/30/01
Chohung	706,000	402,420	134,140	268,280	9.9%	12/31/01
KFB*	262,000	149,340	49,780	99,560	6.2%	09/30/01
KorAm	55,000	31,350	2,750	28,600	3.0%	12/31/01
Hana	56,600	32,262	11,320	20,942	1.3%	12/31/01
Kookmin	174,000	99,180	33,060	66,120	0.9%	12/31/01
Shinhan	125,000	71,250	5,000	66,250	0.6%	12/31/01

^{*} Exposure as of 1/17/01.

Source: Company reports; Lehman Brothers estimates.

Company Name	Reuters Ticker	Price(21/01/02)	Rating
Kookmin Bank	6000.KS	(W 55000)	1 - Strong Buy
Shinhan Financial Group	5555.KS	(W 17700)	3 - Market Perform
KorAm Bank	16830.KS	(W 11850)	2 - Buy
Hana Bank	07360.KS	(W 15900)	2 - Buy

Other Team Members

Grant Chan	852.2869.3818	grchan@lehman.com
Bertram Lai	852.2869.3024	blai@lehman.com
Christine Lam	852.2869.3813	cylam@lehman.com



This is a guide to expected total return (price performance plus dividend) relative to the total return of the stock's local market over the next 12 months.

1 = Strong Buy (expected to outperform the market by 15 or more percentage points); 2 = Buy (expected to outperform the market by 5-15 percentage points); 3 = Market Perform (expected to perform in line with the market, plus or minus 5 percentage points); 4 = Market Underperform (expected to underperform the market by 5-15 percentage points); 5 = Sell (expected to underperform the market by 15 or more percentage points).

Disclosure legend: A - Lehman Brothers Inc. managed or co-managed within the past three years a public offering of securities for this company. B - An employee of Lehman Brothers Inc. is a director of this company. C - Lehman Brothers Inc. makes a market in the securities of this company.

Do not distribute to retail clients before checking state blue sky restrictions. This material has been prepared and/or issued by Lehman Brothers Inc., member SIPC, and/or one of its affiliates (Lehman Brothers) and has been approved by Lehman Brothers International (Europe), regulated by the Securities and Futures Authority, in connection with its distribution in the European Economic Area. This material is distributed in Japan by Lehman Brothers Japan Inc., and in Hong Kong by Lehman Brothers Asia Ltd. This document is for information purposes only and it should not be regarded as an offer to sell or as a solicitation of an offer to buy the securities or other instruments mentioned in it. No part of this document may be reproduced in any manner without the written permission of Lehman Brothers. We do not represent that this information is accurate or complete and it should not be relied upon as such. It is provided with the understanding that Lehman Brothers is not acting in a fiduciary capacity. Opinions expressed herein are subject to change without notice. The products mentioned in this document may not be eligible for sale in some states or countries, and they may not be suitable for all types of investors. If an investor has any doubts about product suitability, he should consult his Lehman Brothers' representative. The value and the income produced by products may fluctuate, so that an investor may get back less than he invested. Value and income may also be adversely affected by exchange rates, interest rates, or other factors. Past performance is not necessarily indicative of future results. When an investment is denominated in a foreign currency, fluctuations in exchange rates may have an adverse effect on the value, price of, or income derived from the investment. If a product is income producing, part of the capital invested may be used to pay that income. Lehman Brothers may make a market or deal as principal in the securities mentioned in this document or in options, futures, or other derivatives