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|  | What's Inside |
| :--- | :---: |
| DBS Banks $\quad 3-$ Mkt Perform |  |
| 2H01 Earnings Review: No Lai See |  |
| Shinhan Financial $\quad 3-$ Mkt Perform |  |
| FY2001 Results: Reporting Morass |  |
| Thai Farmers $\quad 3-$ Mkt Perform |  |
| 4Q01 Earnings Review: Positive Thinking |  |
| HSBC $\quad 3$ - Mkt Perform |  |
| Key Take-Aways From StanChart Result |  |

Standard Chartered 3 - Mkt Perform Needs the Asian Tide to Turn Economic Focus South Korea:
Labour Market Bucks the Regional Trend

Market Summary

| Market | Rating | Trend |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Hong Kong | Underweight |  |
| Singapore | Neutral | $\Leftrightarrow$ |
| Korea | Overweight | $\sqrt{3}$ |
| Taiwan | Underweight |  |
| Thailand | Underweight | $\Leftrightarrow$ |
| Malaysia | Underweight | $\Leftrightarrow$ |
| Philippines | Underweight | $\widehat{~}$ |
| Indonesia | Neutral | $\widehat{~}$ |

Upcoming Events

| Feb 27 | OCBC FY2001 results Wing lung Bank FY200 1 results |
| :---: | :---: |
| Late Feb / early Mar | UOB FY2001 results |
| End Feb | Korean banks FY2001 results announcement |
| Mar 4 | HSBC 2001 results announcement |
| Mar 4 | Hang Seng Bank 2001 results announcement |
| Mar 5 | Dah Sing Financial 2001 results announcement |

## Asian Bank Briefing

## Theme of the Week: The Risks of Consumer Credit

- Did StanChart's results send a negative message on consumer lending? Bank stocks around Asia are down and it appears the hardest hit are those that have a significant consumer focus-HSBC, Hang Seng, Dah Sing, DBS, OCBC, UOB, Kookmin, Chinatrust and Fubon.
- With the demise of corporate lending, banks across Asia have rushed to get into the consumer space where the lending spreads are clearly still too fat. However, StanChart's results revealed that this business line is not without risk-its charge-off on credit cards in Hong Kong was 7.5\%. Nonetheless, the risk-refurn trade off still favors the banks and this is the way of the future. Consumers are under-levered across Asia and this area represents explosive profitable growth. Bank stocks around the world are down.


## The Week Ahead: Results Announcements Continue...

■ OCBC is expected to announce a full year profit of $\$ \$ 1.0$ billion, or $S \$ 0.79$, per share, representing a $20 \%$ rise over the previous year. These results will be depicted by stable margins and balance sheet expansion, following the acquisition of Keppel Tatlee. We are maintaining our 3-Market Perform rating and share price target of $S \$ 11.10$, representing $11 \%$ downside.

■ Wing lung is expected to announce a 2001 profit of $\mathrm{HK} \$ 838$ million, or HK $\$ 3.61$ per share, which is $17 \%$ lower than 2000. Margins are contracting, there is no loan growth and loan loss provisions will be higher. The environment in Hong Kong has changed and Wing Lung's inability to adapt, or re-invent itself is representative of all other small banks. We re-iterate our 4-Market Underperform rating and share price target of $\mathrm{HK} \$ 25.00,14 \%$ below the current price.

## The Week Before: Earnings Results from around the Region

■ DBS announced a full year profit of $\$ \$ 999$ million, or $S \$ 0.70$ per share, representing a $28 \%$ decline from last year. The key drivers to the lower earnings were significantly higher loan loss provisions and higher operating expenses, especially goodwill and restructuring charges related to the Dao Heng acquisition. We are maintaining our 3-Market Perform rating and share price target of S\$12.50, $9 \%$ below the current price.

- Standard Chartered announced results that were depicted by significantly higher loan loss provisions, due primarily to corporate exposure in Malaysia, consumer debt in Hong Kong and Argentina. StanChart was able to achieve both loan growth and margin expansion due to a full year contribution from the Chase acquisition.
- Thai Farmers and Shinhan Financial also announced results. See details inside.

Figure 1: Asian Financial Institutions Share Price Performance

|  | Rating | Closing Price | Target Price | Potential Upside | 52-Week |  | Share Price Performance (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | High | Low | 1-Week | 1-Month | 3-Month | YTD | 1-Year |
| Hong Kong: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank of East Asia | 4 | 15.35 | 13.00 | -15\% | 19.75 | 14.45 | -0.65 | -6.97 | -7.81 | -8.63 | -21.68 |
| Dah Sing Financial | 3 | 33.00 | 34.00 | 3\% | 45.30 | 29.95 | -2.94 | -3.79 | -7.82 | -10.57 | -19.71 |
| Hang Seng Bank | 4 | 86.25 | 70.00 | -19\% | 101.50 | 74.00 | -2.54 | -0.29 | 4.86 | 0.58 | -16.26 |
| HSBC Holdings PLC | 3 | 85.75 | 84.00 | -2\% | 116.50 | 68.50 | -3.65 | -3.11 | -9.02 | -6.03 | -26.71 |
| JCG Holdings | 2 | 4.85 | 5.40 | 11\% | 5.35 | 3.88 | -3.96 | -0.51 | -1.02 | 0.00 | 12.79 |
| Pacific Century Insurance | 1 | 1.87 | na | na | 2.57 | 1.38 | -1.06 | -4.59 | 7.47 | -14.02 | -22.62 |
| Wing Hang Bank | 4 | 24.60 | 21.00 | -15\% | 33.30 | 21.80 | 0.41 | -0.40 | 0.20 | -1.60 | -16.33 |
| Wing Lung Bank | 4 | 29.15 | 25.00 | -14\% | 39.20 | 24.70 | 1.57 | 3.55 | -3.16 | 0.17 | -14.26 |
| Citic Ka Wah | NR | 2.45 | na | na | 2.67 | 1.81 | 7.69 | 12.64 | 18.23 | 23.74 | -7.56 |
| IBA | NR | 1.99 | na | na | 2.77 | 1.57 | 3.11 | 8.15 | 5.85 | 5.29 | -1.73 |
| ICBC (Asia) | NR | 7.50 | na | na | 7.75 | 5.55 | 2.04 | 7.14 | 8.70 | 2.04 | 10.29 |
| Liu Chong Hing | NR | 7.90 | na | na | 10.85 | 5.95 | 0.00 | -0.63 | 8.22 | 9.72 | -17.28 |
| Hang Seng index |  | 10,665 |  |  | 15,230 | 8,934 | -3.07\% | -1.00\% | -5.81\% | -6.43\% | -30.21\% |
| Hong Kong Dollar (HKD) |  | 7.80 |  |  | 7.79 | 7.80 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | -0.01\% | -0.02\% | 0.00\% |
| Singapore: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DBS Bank Group | 3 | 13.70 | 12.50 | -9\% | 19.80 | 9.50 | -6.16 | -2.14 | 25.69 | -0.72 | -29.74 |
| Oversea-Chinese Banking Corp. | 3 | 12.50 | 11.10 | -11\% | 13.40 | 8.80 | -6.72 | 3.31 | 15.74 | 13.64 | 0.00 |
| United Overseas Bank | 1 | 13.70 | 14.90 | 9\% | 15.00 | 9.05 | -5.52 | -2.84 | 23.42 | 7.87 | 0.00 |
| STI |  | 1,690 |  |  | 1,960 | 1,241 | -4.01\% | -2.35\% | 15.91\% | 4.10\% | -13.19\% |
| Singapore Dollar (SGD) |  | 1.83 |  |  | 1.73 | 1.86 | -0.41\% | 0.48\% | 0.49\% | 0.73\% | -4.85\% |
| South Korea: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hana Bank | 2 | 18,400 | 11,500 | -38\% | 18,700 | 5,337 | 3.95 | 2.22 | 44.97 | 8.88 | 155.49 |
| Kookmin Bank | 1 | 55,000 | 60,000 | 9\% | 62,100 | 17,925 | -5.17 | -11.29 | 27.99 | 10.44 | 118.35 |
| Kookmin Credit Card | NR | 51,900 | na | na | 60,000 | 27,200 | -3.35 | -8.14 | 34.63 | 3.80 | 56.80 |
| Koram Bank | 2 | 11,950 | 7,800 | -35\% | 13,950 | 6,300 | -5.91 | -14.34 | 24.48 | 0.00 | 58.91 |
| Shinhan Financial Group | 3 | 17,600 | 16,000 | -9\% | 20,450 | 9,400 | -0.28 | -11.56 | 17.33 | 0.28 | 23.51 |
| Chohung Bank | NR | 5,550 | na | na | 6,150 | 1,750 | -0.36 | -6.88 | 80.19 | 34.06 | 121.12 |
| Industrial Bank of Korea | NR | 7,600 | na | na | 8,800 | 3,190 | -2.44 | -6.75 | 7.95 | -4.88 | 111.70 |
| Korea Exchange Bank | NR | 5,070 | na | na | 5,770 | 1,805 | -1.36 | -3.98 | 67.05 | 30.17 | 47.17 |
| KOSPI |  | 792 |  |  | 796 | 469 | 0.21\% | 2.24\% | 22.76\% | 14.17\% | 35.73\% |
| Korean W on (KRW) |  | 1,322 |  |  | 1,245 | 1,368 | -0.76\% | 0.76\% | -3.33\% | -0.61\% | -5.94\% |
| Taiwan: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank SinoPac | 2 | 14.05 | 20.00 | 42\% | 16.27 | 11.82 | -3.77 | -0.71 | 0.00 | -3.77 | -10.66 |
| Chinatrust Commercial | 1 | 24.80 | 30.00 | 21\% | 26.10 | 15.67 | 0.40 | -4.98 | 37.78 | 18.10 | 0.79 |
| Fubon Financial | 2 | 17.80 | 35.00 | 97\% | 18.84 | 13.20 | -0.56 | -3.20 | na | -4.34 | na |
| Taishin International | 3 | 15.00 | 21.00 | 40\% | 17.59 | 10.25 | 6.76 | 14.50 | 26.58 | 15.83 | -15.64 |
| United W orld Chinese Commercial | 4 | 19.00 | 19.00 | 0\% | 25.45 | 15.18 | -6.40 | -8.65 | -2.06 | -9.95 | -24.28 |
| First Commercial Bank | NR | 19.80 | na | na | 24.67 | 13.80 | -5.26 | -7.91 | 4.21 | -8.33 | -19.42 |
| Hua Nan Commercial Bank | NR | 18.20 | na | na | 25.71 | 14.50 | -5.70 | -9.45 | -1.09 | -11.22 | -29.74 |
| International Commerical Bank | NR | 20.20 | na | na | 25.45 | 13.64 | -4.72 | -8.60 | 10.99 | -5.16 | -20.93 |
| TWSE |  | 5,610 |  |  | 6,007 | 3,446 | -6.01\% | -5.73\% | 24.14\% | 1.06\% | -2.05\% |
| New Taiwan Dollar (TWD) |  | 35.11 |  |  | 32.32 | 35.17 | -0.29\% | -0.29\% | -1.79\% | -0.45\% | -7.82\% |
| Malaysia: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commerce Asset Holding | 3 | 8.20 | 8.00 | -2\% | 8.90 | 5.05 | -6.29 | 0.61 | 13.89 | 10.81 | 2.50 |
| Maybank | 2 | 9.05 | 16.00 | 77\% | 9.53 | 6.20 | -1.09 | 5.23 | 16.77 | 9.04 | -4.40 |
| Public Bank (F) | 2 | 3.22 | 3.80 | 18\% | 3.56 | 2.30 | -5.85 | 17.09 | 18.82 | 20.60 | 10.16 |
| Hong Leong Bank | NR | 4.44 | na | na | 4.50 | 2.98 | 3.26 | 17.46 | 32.14 | 21.98 | 31.36 |
| RHB Capital | NR | 2.29 | na | na | 2.73 | 1.60 | -7.29 | -12.26 | 2.69 | -0.87 | -15.50 |
| MY Comp. |  | 711 |  |  | 730 | 553 | -1.46\% | 2.46\% | 11.11\% | 2.09\% | -0.30\% |
| Malaysian Ringgit (MYR) |  | 3.80 |  |  | 3.80 | 3.80 | 0.03\% | 0.00\% | 0.01\% | 0.03\% | 0.00\% |

Source: Lehman Brothers, Datastream
Figure 2: Recommended Porffolio-Our Top Ideas

| Institution | Ticker | Country | Rating | Key Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United Overseas Bank | UOB | Singapore | 1-Strong Buy | 1. Highest ROE among its peers and taking loan market share. <br> 2. Significant low-capital/high margin businesses off-balance sheet. <br> 3. Attractive valuation. |
| Kookmin Bank | 6000 | Korea | 1-Strong Buy | 1. Primary mortgage dominance gives a head start in other consumer credit lines. <br> 2. Low chaebol exposure. <br> 3. Merger between Kookmin/H\&CB will create value. |
| Bank Central Asia | BBCA | Indonesia | 1-Strong Buy | 1. Completely clean balance sheet. <br> 2. Dominant consumer banking franchise. <br> 3. Cheap valuation. |

[^0]Figure 1: Asian Financial Institutions Share Price Performance (Continued)

|  | Rating | Closing Price | Target Price | Potential Upside | 52-Week |  | Share Price Performance |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | High | Low | 1-Week | 1-Month | 3-Month | YTD | 1-Year |
| Thailand: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bangkok Bank (F) | 4 | 63.00 | 25.00 | -60\% | 78.00 | 37.50 | -14.86 | -9.35 | 29.90 | 27.92 | 10.53 |
| Bangkok Bank (L) | 3 | 49.50 | 25.00 | -49\% | 61.00 | 30.25 | -16.10 | -1.98 | 37.50 | 35.62 | 21.47 |
| Bank of Ayudhya (F) | 4 | 5.75 | 5.00 | -13\% | 8.50 | 4.20 | -11.54 | -1.71 | 18.80 | 10.58 | -33.14 |
| Bank of Ayudhya (L) | 4 | 5.80 | 5.00 | -14\% | 8.60 | 4.16 | -11.45 | -1.69 | 20.33 | 9.43 | -31.76 |
| IFCT (F) | 3 | 7.00 | 10.00 | 43\% | 9.30 | 4.76 | -13.04 | 2.19 | 22.81 | 17.65 | -21.35 |
| Krung Thai Bank (F) | 4 | 13.50 | 10.00 | -26\% | 13.75 | 8.20 | 0.00 | 33.66 | 22.73 | 35.00 | -3.57 |
| National Finance PCL (F) | 3 | 14.40 | na | na | 17.20 | 6.80 | -11.11 | -0.69 | 42.57 | 35.85 | 46.94 |
| National Finance PCL (L) | 3 | 12.30 | na | na | 14.60 | 6.50 | -11.51 | -2.38 | 35.16 | 30.16 | 35.16 |
| Siam Commercial Bank (F) | 3 | 24.50 | 25.00 | 2\% | 31.25 | 13.60 | -13.27 | 18.93 | 46.71 | 45.83 | -20.97 |
| Siam Commercial Bank (P) | 3 | 23.70 | 25.00 | 5\% | 28.75 | 13.60 | -14.59 | 14.49 | 41.92 | 40.24 | -18.28 |
| Thai Farmers Bank (F) | 3 | 26.00 | 22.00 | -15\% | 31.75 | 15.75 | -18.11 | -11.11 | 21.50 | 19.27 | -6.31 |
| Thai Farmers Bank (L) | 3 | 22.90 | 22.00 | -4\% | 27.75 | 14.00 | -17.48 | -4.58 | 27.22 | 23.12 | -7.47 |
| Thai Military Bank (F) | 4 | 6.00 | 5.00 | -17\% | 9.00 | 4.50 | -11.76 | 0.00 | 20.48 | 15.38 | -32.58 |
| SET |  | 351 |  |  | 376 | 265 | -6.47\% | 3.64\% | 18.38\% | 15.62\% | 8.35\% |
| Thai Baht (THB) |  | 43.90 |  |  | 42.78 | 45.97 | -0.69\% | 0.71\% | 1.00\% | 0.68\% | -2.00\% |
| Philippines: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank of the Philippine Islands | 2 | 66.50 | 64.00 | -4\% | 79.50 | 40.50 | -1.48 | -1.48 | 29.13 | 15.65 | -5.00 |
| Equitable-PCI | NR | 31.00 | na | na | 55.50 | 16.00 | 1.64 | 5.09 | 69.86 | 26.53 | -43.64 |
| Metrobank | 3 | 211.00 | 255.00 | 21\% | 230.00 | 156.00 | -0.94 | 5.50 | 17.22 | 2.93 | -5.80 |
| Philippine National Bank | 4 | 69.50 | 55.00 | -21\% | 79.00 | 39.50 | -7.33 | -0.71 | 43.30 | 2.96 | 73.75 |
| Ph Comp. |  | 1,396 |  |  | 1,636 | 979 | -2.95\% | 4.43\% | 28.29\% | 19.55\% | -15.22\% |
| Philippines Peso (PHP) |  | 51.38 |  |  | 47.47 | 54.38 | -0.24\% | -0.24\% | 1.12\% | 0.44\% | -5.79\% |
| Indonesia: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank Central Asia | 1 | 1,800 | 2,100 | 17\% | 2,050 | 800 | -8.86 | 4.35 | 14.29 | 22.03 | 105.71 |
| Lippo Bank | 2 | 60 | 90 | 50\% | 60.00 | 25.00 | 20.00 | 71.43 | 71.43 | 100.00 | 9.09 |
| Panin Bank | 4 | 275 | 260 | -5\% | 285.00 | 95.00 | 0.00 | 19.57 | 37.50 | 48.65 | 77.42 |
| JCI |  | 459 |  |  | 470 | 343 | -0.64\% | 1.53\% | 20.04\% | 17.18\% | 4.73\% |
| Indonesia Rupiah (IDR) |  | 10,210 |  |  | 8,280 | 12,200 | 0.34\% | 1.81\% | 3.33\% | 1.86\% | -5.61\% |
| Australia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ANZ | NR | 18.17 | na | na | 18.60 | 13.44 | 0.89 | 4.99 | 4.01 | 2.03 | 14.85 |
| Commonwealth Bank of Australia | NR | 32.28 | na | na | 34.15 | 25.14 | -1.13 | 0.62 | 10.48 | 7.82 | 8.47 |
| National Australia Bank | NR | 35.05 | na | na | 35.58 | 24.55 | -0.94 | 4.84 | 9.97 | 10.01 | 18.81 |
| St.George Bank | NR | 17.80 | na | na | 18.84 | 13.20 | -0.56 | -3.20 | 2.68 | -4.34 | 22.08 |
| Westpac | NR | 16.72 | na | na | 16.72 | 12.05 | 1.35 | 8.45 | 10.02 | 6.14 | 20.31 |
| All Ord.s |  | 3,367 |  |  | 3,425 | 2,867 | -1.30\% | -0.50\% | 2.90\% | 0.20\% | 3.90\% |
| Australian Dollar (AUD) |  | 0.51 |  |  | 0.54 | 0.48 | -0.91\% | -1.29\% | -0.85\% | 0.65\% | -2.21\% |
| China: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| China Minsheng | NR | 13.37 | na | na | 16.14 | 11.79 | 0.00 | 2.93 | -9.78 | -7.86 | 4.83 |
| Shanghai Pudong | NR | 14.58 | na | na | 21.38 | 13.06 | 0.00 | 5.04 | -13.73 | -7.07 | -26.21 |
| Shenzhen Development Bank | NR | 10.66 | na | na | 16.96 | 9.60 | 0.00 | 4.41 | -21.27 | -12.98 | -24.50 |
| Red Chips |  | 1,678 |  |  | 2,161 | 1,095 | -1.96\% | 2.17\% | 0.20\% | 4.14\% | -6.75\% |
| Chinese Yuan (CNY) |  | 8.28 |  |  | 8.28 | 8.28 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| India: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corporation Bank | NR | 143.70 | na | na | 156.05 | 104.30 | 2.20 | 30.81 | 9.99 | 12.49 | 30.16 |
| HDFC Bank | NR | 247.00 | na | na | 266.40 | 191.85 | -0.58 | 7.88 | 9.73 | 9.88 | -0.48 |
| ICICI Bank | NR | 68.85 | na | na | 108.60 | 40.45 | 9.72 | 36.34 | 32.79 | 55.59 | -22.81 |
| State Bank of India | NR | 241.45 | na | na | 267.45 | 140.55 | -0.56 | 16.08 | 19.83 | 31.58 | 9.35 |
| Bombay SE |  | 1,748 |  |  | 2,140 | 1,216 | -0.80\% | 9.84\% | 13.67\% | 12.55\% | -16.13\% |
| Indian Rupee (INR) |  | 48.75 |  |  | 46.49 | 48.77 | -0.16\% | -0.98\% | -1.50\% | -1.05\% | -4.49\% |
| Regional Index: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MSCI AC Far East Free ex-Japan |  | 203.55 |  |  | 222.77 | 146.43 | -3.22\% | -0.83\% | 12.22\% | 3.58\% | -8.52\% |

Source: Lehman Brothers, Datastream
Figure 3: Market Summary

|  | Market Rating | Current Trend | Due To | $\begin{gathered} \text { Last } \\ \text { Changed } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hong Kong | Underweight | $\checkmark$ | Deteriorating fundamentals | Oct-01 |
| Singapore | Neutral | $\Leftrightarrow$ | Consolidation; Poor Growth | Aug-01 |
| South Korea | Overweight | $\Omega$ | Valuation; Loan Growth | Jun-00 |
| Taiwan | Underweight | $\checkmark$ | Slow Consolidation | Sep-00 |
| Malaysia | Underweight | $\Leftrightarrow$ | Integration uncertainties | Aug-00 |
| Thailand | Underweight | $\stackrel{\square}{4}$ | Asset Quality; Earnings | Jun-00 |
| Philippines | Underweight | 乞 | Interest Rates; Asset Quality | Jun-00 |
| Indonesia | Neutral | 饣 | Valuation; High return on capital | Oct-01 |

Source: Lehman Brothers

Figure 4: Asian Financial Institutions Coverage Universe Valuations

|  | Rating | Closing Price | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mkt Cap } \\ \text { (US\$, MM) } \end{gathered}$ | Price to BVPS |  | PER |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 2000A | 2001E | 2000A | 2001E | 2002E | 2003E |
| Hong Kong: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank of East Asia | 4 | 15.35 | 2,821 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 15.1 | 11.5 | 11.9 | 11.6 |
| Dah Sing Financial | 3 | 33.00 | 1,043 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 12.8 | 8.9 | 8.0 | 7.2 |
| Hang Seng Bank | 4 | 86.25 | 21,143 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 20.0 | 16.0 | 17.3 | 16.1 |
| HSBC Holdings PLC | 3 | 85.75 | 102,856 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 20.0 | 18.4 | 16.2 | 14.6 |
| JCG Holdings | 2 | 4.85 | 440 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 6.9 | 8.0 | 8.6 | 7.8 |
| Wing Hang Bank | 4 | 24.60 | 926 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 9.2 | 8.9 | 8.3 | 7.7 |
| Wing Lung Bank | 4 | 29.15 | 868 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 7.3 | 7.9 | 8.3 | 7.8 |
| Singapore: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DBS Bank Group | 3 | 13.70 | 10,818 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 13.4 | 13.7 | 11.9 | 12.7 |
| Oversea-Chinese Banking Corp. | 3 | 12.50 | 8,784 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 20.5 | 17.0 | 13.4 | 12.2 |
| United Overseas Bank | 1 | 13.70 | 11,748 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 12.3 | 17.0 | 16.5 | 13.5 |
| South Korea: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hana Bank | 2 | 18,400 | 1,898 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 41.4 | 5.9 | 4.5 | 3.7 |
| Kookmin Bank | 1 | 55,000 | 15,012 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6.1 | 6.5 |
| Kookmin Credit Card | NR | 51,900 | 2,874 | 1.0 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 7.9 |
| Koram Bank | 2 | 11,950 | 1,474 | 1.0 | 2.1 | NM | 11.8 | 6.6 | 4.7 |
| Shinhan Financial Group | 3 | 17,600 | 3,892 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 8.0 | 10.3 | 8.2 | 7.7 |
| Malaysia: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commerce Asset Holding | 3 | 8.20 | 2,559 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 18.4 | 18.9 | 16.9 | 14.0 |
| Maybank | 2 | 9.05 | 8,437 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 12.5 | 20.3 | 19.5 | 15.4 |
| Public Bank (F) | 2 | 3.22 | 2,637 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 9.8 | 10.5 | 11.8 | 10.5 |
| Thailand: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bangkok Bank (F) | 4 | 63.00 | 2,106 | 1.5 | 2.5 | NM | 12.2 | 13.0 | 11.0 |
| Bank of Ayudhya (F) | 4 | 5.75 | 243 | 0.6 | 0.7 | NM | NM | -3.5 | -3.4 |
| IFCT (F) | 3 | 7.00 | 185 | 1.1 | 1.0 | NM | NM | -25.4 | -51.0 |
| Krung Thai Bank (F) | 4 | 13.50 | 3,441 | 3.9 | 2.5 | 3.3 | NM | 54.5 | 68.3 |
| Siam Commercial Bank (F) | 3 | 24.50 | 474 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 17.1 | 35.1 | 21.0 | 18.5 |
| Thai Farmers Bank (F) | 3 | 26.00 | 1,395 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 44.3 | 60.7 | 24.9 | 21.6 |
| Thai Military Bank (F) | 4 | 6.00 | 275 | 1.7 | 2.1 | NM | NM | -38.1 | 0.0 |
| Taiwan: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank SinoPac | 2 | 14.05 | 778 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 14.3 | 14.0 | 11.2 | 10.1 |
| Chinatrust Commercial | 1 | 24.80 | 3,254 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 10.8 | 14.3 | 13.0 | 11.1 |
| Fubon Financial | 2 | 17.80 | 4,508 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 13.9 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Taishin International | 3 | 15.00 | 983 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 17.2 | 14.1 | 12.7 | 10.2 |
| United World Chinese Commercial | 4 | 19.00 | 2,041 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 11.1 | 13.9 | 11.8 | 10.0 |
| Philippines: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank of the Philippine Islands | 2 | 66.50 | 1,984 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 29.2 | 17.9 | 20.7 | 17.2 |
| Equitable-PCI | NR | 31.00 | 439 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 67.2 | 124.3 | 158.8 | 29.5 |
| Metrobank | 3 | 211.00 | 1,342 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 39.8 | 20.1 | 21.2 | 16.5 |
| Philippine National Bank | 4 | 69.50 | 511 | 0.7 | 1.6 | NM | NM | -13.4 | -18.7 |
| Indonesia: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank Central Asia | 1 | 1,800 | 1,040 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 3.4 | 4.4 | 4.5 |
| Lippo Bank | 2 | 60 | 151 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 8.7 | 5.0 | 9.3 | 11.1 |
| Panin Bank | 4 | 275 | 160 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 35.1 | 8.5 | 4.1 | 4.3 |
| COUNTRY AVERAGES |  | Total | Cap (US\$m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hong Kong (excl. HSBC) |  |  | 26,801 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 18.3 | 14.6 | 15.6 | 14.6 |
| Singapore |  |  | 31,350 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 15.0 | 15.9 | 14.0 | 12.8 |
| South Korea |  |  | 22,276 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 9.2 | 7.7 | 6.6 | 6.8 |
| Taiwan |  |  | 11,564 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 12.2 | 17.3 | 12.1 | 10.1 |
| Malaysia |  |  | 13,633 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 13.1 | 18.2 | 17.5 | 0.0 |
| Thailand |  |  | 8,120 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 7.5 | 13.4 | 17.9 | 20.3 |
| The Philippines |  |  | 4,276 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 37.4 | 31.1 | 30.9 | 14.0 |
| Indonesia |  |  | 1,351 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 6.2 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 5.2 |
| REGION |  |  | 119,369 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 14.3 | 14.7 | 14.0 | 10.8 |

[^1]Figure 5: Global Financial Institutions Performance and Valuation Summary

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { LB } \\ \text { Rating } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Closing Price | 52-Week |  | Share Price Performance |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mkt Cap } \\ & \text { (\$, MM) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P/BV } \\ & \text { 2001** } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | PER |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | High | Low | 1W | 1M | 3M | YTD | 1Y |  |  | 2001 | 2002E |
| United States: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank One | 3 | 34.59 | 39.82 | 28.00 | -3.78 | -11.65 | -8.05 | -11.42 | -0.69 | 40,333 | 1.9 | 14.0 | 12.8 |
| BankAmerica | 2 | 61.32 | 65.00 | 49.05 | 0.86 | -3.20 | -5.65 | -2.59 | 24.79 | 96,476 | 3.7 | 12.4 | 11.0 |
| BONY | 1 | 37.13 | 55.35 | 30.62 | -4.06 | -12.80 | -8.14 | -9.00 | -26.78 | 27,345 | 4.0 | 18.5 | 16.9 |
| Citigroup | 1 | 42.40 | 53.55 | 36.36 | -3.92 | -14.55 | -15.10 | -16.01 | -12.03 | 218,140 | 2.6 | 15.0 | 13.3 |
| Wachovia Corp | 3 | 31.36 | 36.38 | 27.90 | -0.76 | -7.36 | 1.55 | 0.00 | -0.67 | 42,681 | 1.5 | 14.7 | 11.4 |
| JP Morgan Chase \& Co | 1 | 28.19 | 50.60 | 28.19 | -6.19 | -19.43 | -28.43 | -22.45 | -40.09 | 55,619 | 1.4 | 17.0 | 9.4 |
| US Average |  |  |  |  | -2.98\% | -11.50\% | -10.64\% | -10.25\% | -9.25\% | 480,594 | 2.6 | 14.8 | 12.3 |
| Europe: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABN-AMRO | 2 | 19.42 | 24.45 | 15.78 | -1.42 | -5.31 | 3.41 | 7.35 | -17.64 | 26,272 | 2.9 | 12.7 | 13.0 |
| Allied Irish Banks | 2 | 12.40 | 13.80 | 9.31 | 1.22 | -6.13 | 8.96 | -4.62 | 4.55 | 9,645 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Barclays | 2 | 2,065.00 | 2,302.00 | 1,518.00 | -3.32 | -8.39 | -3.95 | -9.23 | -6.65 | 49,221 | 3.3 | 13.9 | 13.7 |
| BBVA | 3 | 12.35 | 16.67 | 9.50 | -2.76 | -8.31 | -11.91 | -11.15 | -22.03 | 34,623 | 2.8 | 16.7 | 14.7 |
| BNP-Paribas | 2 | 52.20 | 54.60 | 37.95 | -1.69 | -2.43 | 5.08 | 3.88 | 19.04 | 40,599 | 2.1 | 11.9 | 11.3 |
| BSCH | 2 | 8.62 | 11.43 | 6.93 | -1.60 | -7.61 | -12.31 | -8.40 | -22.06 | 35,279 | 3.3 | 12.3 | 10.8 |
| Commerzbank | 3 | 18.25 | 32.55 | 15.70 | -1.08 | -6.17 | -14.52 | 5.19 | -35.96 | 8,819 | 0.8 | -91.3 | 26.1 |
| Credit Lyonnais | 3 | 36.34 | 45.75 | 34.05 | -3.61 | -1.92 | -4.62 | -3.09 | -4.37 | 10,971 | 1.7 | 14.5 | 14.5 |
| Credit Suisse Group | 3 | 56.50 | 83.12 | 44.80 | -7.76 | -19.29 | -14.39 | -20.20 | -24.67 | 40,033 | 6.3 | 23.5 | 14.5 |
| Deutsche | 2 | 64.95 | 94.10 | 46.55 | -3.99 | -13.69 | -11.75 | -18.10 | -26.03 | 35,421 | 1.5 | 54.1 | 17.1 |
| Dresdner | 3 | 46.50 | 54.45 | 35.60 | 4.26 | 8.64 | 15.38 | 13.69 | 6.41 | 22,526 | 2.3 | 21.7 | 25.4 |
| HBOS | 3 | 813.00 | 883.00 | 638.00 | -3.21 | -1.81 | -3.73 | 2.14 | 16.64 | 41,711 | 2.9 | na | na |
| Lloyds TSB | 2 | 742.50 | 775.00 | 590.00 | 0.00 | -0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 59,085 | 5.0 | 13.4 | 11.7 |
| RBS Natwest | 2 | 1,685.00 | 1,840.00 | 1,256.00 | -4.91 | -5.55 | 1.02 | 0.78 | 8.01 | 68,722 | 5.2 | 13.5 | 11.3 |
| Societe Generale | 3 | 64.85 | 74.60 | 45.89 | 0.54 | -5.81 | 1.81 | 3.18 | 0.31 | 24,501 | 2.0 | 12.9 | 14.0 |
| Standard Chartered | 3 | 730.00 | 1,040.00 | 566.00 | -4.33 | -4.58 | -11.25 | -10.98 | -30.14 | 11,780 | 2.2 | 10.9 | 10.0 |
| UBS | 3 | 77.60 | 92.00 | 62.10 | -3.42 | -3.60 | -8.22 | -7.40 | -10.12 | 58,901 | 3.9 | 15.5 | 14.4 |
| European Average |  |  |  |  | -2.18\% | -5.41\% | -3.59\% | -3.35\% | -8.50\% | 578,108 | 3.5 | 15.5 | 13.6 |
| Latin America: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bancomer | 2 | 0.99 | 1.14 | 0.66 | -4.45 | -4.66 | 24.28 | 7.90 | 36.72 | 9,137 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Bladex | 3 | 1.95 | 4.14 | 1.76 | 0.40 | -30.82 | -44.13 | -36.86 | -46.33 | 163 | 0.1 | 27.8 | 0.6 |
| Bradesco | 2 | 13.16 | 13.16 | - | 3.87 | 6.99 | 5.20 | 5.28 | 10.59 | 3,867 | 0.8 | 4.0 | 3.6 |
| GFBanorte | 2 | 2.28 | 2.33 | 1.51 | 0.48 | 3.29 | 20.22 | 8.64 | 34.74 | 1,142 | 1.2 | 7.6 | 7.4 |
| Group Financiero Galicia | 4 | 0.14 | 1.98 | 0.13 | -22.50 | -53.56 | -73.33 | -61.13 | -92.68 | 101 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Inbursa | 1 | 0.68 | 0.79 | 0.45 | 2.48 | 7.83 | 46.46 | 16.98 | -4.62 | 3,814 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Itaú | 2 | 0.84 | 0.95 | 0.61 | 1.06 | 4.08 | 2.55 | -0.65 | na | 77 | na | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Santander Chile | 2 | 1.64 | 2.13 | 1.64 | -5.09 | -11.51 | -11.51 | -19.92 | -0.67 | 166 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Santiago | 2 | 1.51 | 1.69 | 1.25 | -1.58 | -1.16 | -8.12 | -4.27 | 13.61 | 2,005 | 150.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Unibanco | 1 | 2.92 | 3.36 | 1.63 | 6.00 | -1.85 | 18.83 | 12.77 | -22.03 | 34,623 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Latin American Average |  |  |  |  | -1.93\% | -8.14\% | -1.96\% | -7.13\% | -7.85\% | 55,093 | 6.1 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| Japan: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chuo-Mitsui | 3 | 173 | 315 | 102 | 1.76 | 28.15 | 31.06 | 33.08 | -44.01 | 1,060 | 0.2 | 7.9 | -0.6 |
| Daiwa | 2 | 72 | 189 | 66 | -6.49 | -8.86 | -35.71 | -12.20 | -62.30 | 1,510 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 3.4 |
| Mitsubishi-Tokyo | 2 | 780,000 | 1,340,000 | 689,000 | -3.35 | -0.51 | -12.85 | -11.26 |  | 33,508 | na | 28.8 | 2599.1 |
| Mizuho | 2 | 230,000 | 803,000 | 200,000 | -0.43 | -6.12 | -34.10 | -13.86 | -67.47 | 15,840 | 0.3 | 11.2 | -2.9 |
| Sumitomo Mitsui | 3 | 475 | 1,229 | 407 | -0.84 | -2.86 | -36.24 | -14.41 | -59.23 | 20,288 | 1.1 | 9.0 | -16.4 |
| Sumitomo Trust | 2 | 436 | 912 | 379 | -5.83 | -6.24 | -36.26 | -18.05 | -49.65 | 4,737 | 0.8 | 6.6 | 32.8 |
| UFJ Holdings | 3 | 284,000 | 970,000 | 235,000 | -4.05 | 17.36 | -38.92 | -1.73 | na | 10,276 | na | -20.1 | 13.8 |
| Japanese Average |  |  |  |  | -2.75\% | 2.99\% | -23.29\% | -5.49\% | -56.53\% | 87,217 | 0.7 | 13.3 | 997.7 |
| Asian Coverage Averages: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hong Kong |  |  |  |  | -1.60 | -2.01 | -2.04 | -5.01 | -15.60 | 26,801 | 3.4 | 14.62 | 15.6 |
| Singapore |  |  |  |  | -6.13 | -0.56 | 21.62 | 6.93 | -9.91 | 31,350 | 1.4 | 14.04 | 14.0 |
| South Korea |  |  |  |  | -2.15 | -8.62 | 29.88 | 4.68 | 82.61 | 22,276 | 2.0 | 6.55 | 6.4 |
| Taiwan |  |  |  |  | -0.71 | -0.61 | 15.58 | 3.17 | -12.45 | 11,564 | 1.1 | 12.08 | 12.1 |
| Malaysia |  |  |  |  | -4.41 | 7.64 | 16.49 | 13.48 | 2.75 | 13,633 | 2.0 | 17.53 | 17.5 |
| Thailand |  |  |  |  | -12.68 | 2.75 | 29.82 | 26.62 | -4.72 | 8,120 | 1.4 | 17.53 | 17.9 |
| The Philippines |  |  |  |  | -2.03 | 2.10 | 39.88 | 12.02 | 4.83 | 4,276 | 1.6 | 30.93 | 30.9 |
| Indonesia |  |  |  |  | 3.71 | 31.78 | 41.07 | 56.89 | 64.07 | 1,351 | 1.0 | 4.94 | 4.9 |
| Asian Average |  |  |  |  | -3.25\% | 4.06\% | 24.04\% | 14.85\% | 13.95\% | 119,369 | 2.0 | 13.7 | 13.9 |

Source: Lehman Brothers; company reports, Datastream

Figure 6: Lehman Estimates Vs. Consensus


Source: Lehman Brothers; Nelson, I/B/E/S

## News of the Week <br> Hong Kong

Industrial and Commercial Bank (ICBC Asia) of China announced 2001 results on Thursday with a $120 \%$ increase in net profits of HK $\$ 330.41$ million. The results were mainly attributable to income from newly acquired Union Bank and a cut in bad loans. Non interest income has also surged by 108\% to HK $\$ 204.26$ million due to syndication loans and equipment finance businesses. ICBC (Asia) announced a final dividend of HK 17 cents with a special dividend of HK5 cents. NPLs dropped to HK\$1.92 billion, mainly due to successful recoveries by the bank and its asset management firm.

Standard Chartered reported earnings for 2001 on Wednesday. Total pre-tax profit fell $20 \%$ to US $\$ 1.14$ billion, fuelled largely by a steep rise in provisions against bad loans in Malaysia and Argentina. Provisions rose by $55 \%$ to US $\$ 731$ million, due to poor markets in Malaysia and the high level of bankruptcies in Hong Kong. Standard Chartered indicated that it will invest heavily in China over the next three years and the bank's strategy will be geared towards achieving a higher ROE.

HKCB Bank Holding Co. announced on Thursday that it has changed its name to Hongkong Chinese Ltd., effective on February 8, 2002.

Dah Sing Financial Holdings Ltd. Indicated on Thursday that it had agreed to sell its entire $30 \%$ stake in Jian Sing Bank Ltd. to China Construction Bank for HK\$105.2 million. China Construction Bank already owns $70 \%$ of Jian Sing Bank, which has four offices and one bills center in Hong Kong. The sale price represented a $2 \%$ discount to the unaudited consolidated net asset value of Jian Sing Bank as of June 2001. The transaction will be in cash and is expected to be completed by Friday.

## Singapore

British insurer CGU has paid SGD82 million as part of a sale and agreement for DBS Group Holdings' Hong Kong-based life and general insurance subsidiaries-Dao Heng Assurance and DBS Kwong On Insurance. Further payments will be made over the 10 -year term of the agreement if DBS Hong Kong
meets certain performance criteria-CGU will have exclusive access to DBS' one million customers in Hong Kong for the distribution of life and general insurance products.

To help ease the pain of separation, OCBC has said it will provide personal accident and life insurance for 12 months as well as special housing and loan rates to an estimated 1,000 employees it may dismiss following the February 25 date for the formal merger with KTLB.

Stepping up to the mortgage war plate, MayBank Singapore introduced a new deferred payment scheme for housing loans called DeferPlus that exempts borrowers from the need to make large installment payments for up to 10 years. The scheme is available only to owner-occupied properties. Under the scheme, the home loan is split into two parts - at least $70 \%$ of the total loan, will operate as a normal home loan-the remaining portion can be repaid after 10 years.

## South Korea

The Financial Supervisory Service has taken further steps to try to ease concerns over surging household loan growth and a possible drop in recently inflated property prices by proposing a change from the current full coverage deposit insurance system on mortgages to a partial insurance system covering just 80 $90 \%$. Recently, banks have been lending up to $60-90 \%$ of the market value of housing.

Consumer confidence rose to the highest level ever in January according to the National Statistical Office. The consumer sentiment index rose to 106.7 in January, up from 100.9 in December, marking a rise for four consecutive months.

Chohung Bank said it plans to establish a development planning task force charged with setting up a financial holding company for the bank. In other news, the bank is said to be considering raising the size of its planned global depositary receipt issue to US\$ 1 billion -the company previously planned a US $\$ 500$ million issue. The issue will be equivalent to a $35 \%$ stake in the bank.

Kookmin Bank is looking to raise a US\$400-500 million syndicated loan. The one-year portion of the syndicated loan
will carry an interest of LIBOR+mid-0.30\% range, while the two-year tranche will carry an interest of LIBOR+low-0.40\% range. The proceeds will be used in paying back its maturing debts and making foreign-currency loans to domestic companies.

## Taiwan

Total overdue loans of the seven major local banks hit a new high of NT\$528.1 billion as of January 2002. In terms of nonperforming loans, Taiwan Cooperative Bank led with NT\$90.4 billion, followed by Land Bank with NT\$86.3 billion, First Bank with NT\$79.4 billion, Chang Hwa NT\$78.2 billion, Taiwan Business Bank with NT\$74.2 billion, Hua Nan Bank with NT $\$ 70.6$ billion and Bank of Taiwan with NT\$48.7 billion. Taiwan Business Bank posted the highest overdue loan ratio of $10.84 \%$, followed by Chang Hwa Bank with $9.18 \%$ and then by First Bank with 8.98\%. The NPL situation has deteriorated due to the banks' lower earning ability with continuous cuts in interest rates, undermining their ability to write off NPLs. In addition, high unemployment and the inability of local economy to rebound makes it difficult for the banks to reduce the overdue loans ratios.

The offshore banking unit of Chang Hwa Commercial Bank has received approval from the Ministry of Finance to engage in direct financial dealings with financial institutions in Mainland China. Chang Hwa has already established a direct financial transaction relationship with a leading bank in the mainland. Since last year, Chang Hwa has set up business relationships with the mainland branches of 14 major international banks and the Hong Kong branches of four leading banks in China.

## Malaysia

Hong Leong Bank Bhd has received the Securities Commission's approval for its proposed disposal of $100 \%$ equity interest in HLB Unit Trust Management Bhd to HIG Capital Bhd. Hong Leong Bank will divest its entire equity interest in HLB Unit Trust, of two million ordinary shares of RMI each, for a cash consideration of RM1 1 million. Proceeds from the disposal will be used as working capital for the Hong Leong Bank group.

Public Bank announced its 2001 results with an improved pretax profit of RM1.27 billion for the financial year ending December 31, 2001 from RM1.26 billion in 2000. Operating revenue increased by $10 \%$ to RM3.79 billion on the back of strong loan growth. Margins were maintained as a result of a pro-active funding strategy focussing on lower-cost current and savings accounts which offset a decline in lending rates. Public Bank is proposing a final dividend of $18 \%$ comprising a tax-exempt and non-tax exempt dividend of $9 \%$ each. Public Bank is also proposing a bonus issue of one new ordinary share for every four existing ordinary shares.

## Thailand

GE Capital announced it will establish its Asian headquarters for consumer finance operations in Bangkok saying Thailand's market offered high growth opportunities - the firm expects local consumer finance to grow 30\% this year from 2001. Current assets now stand at THB26 billion with $81 \%$ consisting of auto loans. GE Capital also operates a credit card alliance with the Central Group and Tesco Lotus, installment financing for consumer electronics and personal loans.

Bank of Asia said it will raise registered capital by THB 15 billion to THB53.8 billion via a rights issue to existing shareholders. 1.5 billion new shares will be issued at THB 10 each. The closing date for the share register for the rights issue is March 22. ABN Amro which currently holds a $78 \%$ stake, has confirmed it will subscribe for the new shares. The capital increase is aimed at supporting future business expansion.

The BOT reported NPLs rose slightly at the end of January to THB480.71 billion, or $10.42 \%$ of total lending-unchanged in percentage terms but up marginally from THB477.42 billion as of end-December 2001. The increase reflects both performing loans and restructured loans returning to non-performing status. Bangkok Bank recorded the largest deterioration with NPLs rising THB4 billion from December level to THB 110.26 billion. A round-up of NPLs below:

| NPL Ratio（\％） |  | Jan 2002 | Dec 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ayudhya | $\checkmark$ | 16．04\％ | 16．58\％ |
| Bangkok | ¢ | 15．42\％ | 14．71\％ |
| Bangkok Metro | 今 | 2．76\％ | 1．59\％ |
| Bank Thai | $\sqrt{3}$ | 3．13\％ | 3．19\％ |
| DBS Thai Danu | $\sqrt{3}$ | 5．83\％ | 5．87\％ |
| Krung Thai | $\sqrt{2}$ | 7．95\％ | 8．19\％ |
| SC Nakornthon | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1．81\％ | 2．11\％ |
| Siam City | 介 | 0．92\％ | 0．70\％ |
| Siam Commercial | $\checkmark$ | 18．36\％ | 18．50\％ |
| Thai Farmers | 仓 | 13．21\％ | 13．10\％ |
| Thai Military | ¢ | 12．31\％ | 12．28\％ |
| UOB Radanasin | 仓 | 0．93\％ | 0．85\％ |

## The Philippines

Moody＇s revised the ratings outlook for six banks to stable，from negative，following the change of outlook for Moody＇s sovereign rating for the Philippines to stable，from negative，on February 4，2002．The banks are：Allied Banking Corporation， Bank of the Philippine Islands，Development Bank of the Philippines，Equitable－PCI Bank，Land Bank of the Philippines and Metropolitan Bank \＆Trust Co．

The BSP issued a memo to banks last week ordering them to sell all their foreclosed real estate assets within five years of acquisition．The five－year asset disposal time frame，was set in place by a provision of the General Banking Law of 2000．The BSP is also encouraging banks to sell their acquired assets to asset management companies．Latest BSP data show that total foreclosed assets amount to PHP153 billion，in addition to potential bad loan foreclosures of another PHP294 billion．

The Philippine Stock Exchange implemented new trading hours： 9：30 am to 12 noon and 1 pm to $2: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ from Wednesday last week（afternoon trading is voluntary）．

## Indonesia

A senior IBRA official said last week that Bank Indonesia plans to raise the minimum CAR for banks to $12 \%$ in FY2002 from the current $8 \%$ level．

The government is said to be close to finalizing a mechanism to replace recapitalization bonds held by BCA with restructured asset loans from IBRA．The bank currently holds some IDR58．2 trillion worth of recap bonds．An earlier report has suggested that the Farallon consortium of bidders for the bank had agreed to the bond－to－asset swap plan．

Bank Indonesia said Friday last week it had completed the screening of the four bidders for BCA．The BI Governor told reporters＂The fit－and－proper test was finished at 8 p．m．last night．The results will be submitted to IBRA on Monday，＂but declined to comment on whether all the bidders had passed the tests．IBRA said last week that it hoped to conclude the sale of the bank by the end of the month．

## Australia

Macquarie Bank，Australia＇s only publicly listed investment bank，said on Friday that it is going to buy NTL Inc＇s Australian business for $\mathrm{A} \bigcup \$ 850$ million．NTL Inc．is the owner and operator of national broadcast transmission infrastructure used by government－owned broadcasters Australian Broadcasting Corp and Special Broadcasting Services．Management of Macquarie indicated that the purchase is as a result of the Bank＇s AU\＄500 million capital raising in September 2001. Macquarie has been on an aggressive acquisition trail．In September 2001 ，Macquarie Infrastructure Group said it would use AU\＄14 billion to purchase a $40 \%$ stake in Cintra tollroads division．

## China

China cut its deposit interest rate by 25 basis points and lending rate by 50 basis points on Thursday．The cuts were aimed at boosting the slowing Chinese economy．The cut in lending rates will reduce the interest bill of mainland enterprises by approximately RMB30 billion this year，according to a central bank official．

China Everbright Bank posted a profit of RMB 1.57 billion for 2001．Total assets were RMB268．83 billion，a $29.6 \%$ increase from the previous year．Total loans amounted to RMB145．6 billion，a 44．7\％increase and deposits also increased by $35.9 \%$ to RMB201．29 billion．China Everbright now has 6.2 million banking cards issued．

Bank of China is opening a branch in Manila and is expanding the number of branches overseas to 560 in 25 countries and regions. Currently, BOC has 12,530 outlets in China. BOC Manila will have an initial capitalization of US\$12 million, and it is starting its business with deposit, remittance, loan, trade financing and trade settlement.

## India

The Confederation of Indian Industry put forward a proposal for measures to reform the financial sector be introduced in the upcoming budget-primarily to resolve the burden of nonperforming assets of banks and financial institutions through the establishment of a pilot Asset Reconstruction Company in line with the recommendations of the Verma Committee. In addition, the ClI is pushing for a time table for the merger or privatisation of weak public sector banks.

Life Insurance Corporation and State Bank of India may be asked to provide an additional INR 1.5 billion for IFCl as part of the INR 10 billion assistance for the ailing financial institution.

Bank of Punjab revealed it was in talks with ABN Amro seeking a strategic alliance

Figure 7: Hong Kong Bank Universe - Forecasts and Valuation

|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PP } \\ \text { Profit } \end{gathered}$ | Net Profit | EPS | PER | ROA | ROE | Yield \% | Net interest margin | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { income } \end{gathered}$ | EPS growth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HONG KONG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HK\$m | BEA | 2000 | 2,900 | 1,871 | 1.33 | 15.1 | 1.2\% | 11.7\% | 3.3\% | 2.7\% | 41.8\% | 23.8\% |
| Price HK\$ | 15.35 | 2001 | 2,777 | 1,904 | 1.33 | 11.5 | 1.1\% | 10.8\% | 4.0\% | 2.4\% | 49.4\% | 0.0\% |
| Mkt Cap US\$m | 2,821 | 2002 | 2,831 | 1,843 | 1.29 | 11.9 | 1.0\% | 9.9\% | 4.2\% | 2.4\% | 49.2\% | -3.2\% |
| Rating | 4 | 2003 | 2,859 | 1,896 | 1.33 | 11.6 | 1.0\% | 9.7\% | 4.3\% | 2.4\% | 50.1\% | 2.9\% |
|  | DSF | 2000 | 1,214 | 810 | 3.29 | 12.8 | 1.7\% | 18.6\% | 2.6\% | 3.8\% | 40.4\% | 37.4\% |
|  | 33.00 | 2001 | 1,248 | 936 | 3.80 | 8.9 | 1.8\% | 18.9\% | 4.2\% | 3.7\% | 41.9\% | 15.5\% |
|  | 1,043 | 2002 | 1,437 | 1,014 | 4.12 | 8.0 | 2.0\% | 18.2\% | 4.5\% | 3.9\% | 38.4\% | 8.4\% |
|  | 3 | 2003 | 1,582 | 1,127 | 4.58 | 7.2 | 2.1\% | 18.0\% | 5.0\% | 3.9\% | 34.9\% | 11.1\% |
|  | HSB | 2000 | 11,540 | 10,014 | 5.24 | 20.0 | 2.1\% | 25.0\% | 4.6\% | 2.7\% | 32.3\% | 20.5\% |
|  | 86.25 | 2001 | 11,398 | 10,137 | 5.30 | 16.0 | 2.1\% | 24.8\% | 5.7\% | 2.5\% | 34.9\% | 1.2\% |
|  | 21,143 | 2002 | 11,055 | 9,548 | 4.99 | 17.3 | 2.0\% | 23.1\% | 5.8\% | 2.5\% | 37.2\% | -5.8\% |
|  | 4 | 2003 | 11,880 | 10,241 | 5.36 | 16.1 | 2.1\% | 24.5\% | 5.8\% | 2.6\% | 36.8\% | 7.3\% |
|  | JCG | 2000 | 760 | 447 | 0.63 | 6.9 | 8.1\% | 15.4\% | 7.4\% | 15.8\% | 23.5\% | 22.2\% |
|  | 4.85 | 2001 | 825 | 429 | 0.61 | 8.0 | 8.9\% | 13.8\% | 6.6\% | 17.6\% | 20.6\% | -4.0\% |
|  | 440 | 2002 | 838 | 397 | 0.56 | 8.6 | 8.0\% | 12.1\% | 6.1\% | 18.5\% | 20.6\% | -7.3\% |
|  | 2 | 2003 | 826 | 438 | 0.62 | 7.8 | 8.4\% | 12.5\% | 6.3\% | 17.5\% | 21.0\% | 10.3\% |
|  | WHB | 2000 | 1,429 | 902 | 3.07 | 9.2 | 1.7\% | 17.4\% | 4.3\% | 3.2\% | 29.0\% | 32.0\% |
|  | 24.60 | 2001 | 1,273 | 806 | 2.75 | 8.9 | 1.5\% | 13.8\% | 4.3\% | 2.8\% | 31.9\% | -10.5\% |
|  | 926 | 2002 | 1,308 | 873 | 2.97 | 8.3 | 1.6\% | 13.3\% | 4.7\% | 2.9\% | 32.4\% | 8.3\% |
|  | 4 | 2003 | 1,342 | 941 | 3.21 | 7.7 | 1.7\% | 12.6\% | 5.1\% | 2.9\% | 32.9\% | 7.9\% |
|  | WLB | 2000 | 1,299 | 1,011 | 4.35 | 7.3 | 1.7\% | 16.3\% | 5.0\% | 2.4\% | 26.8\% | 18.2\% |
|  | 29.15 | 2001 | 1,089 | 838 | 3.61 | 7.9 | 1.3\% | 12.8\% | 5.0\% | 2.0\% | 32.2\% | -17.1\% |
|  | 868 | 2002 | 1,071 | 815 | 3.51 | 8.3 | 1.3\% | 12.7\% | 4.9\% | 2.0\% | 33.2\% | -2.7\% |
|  | 4 | 2003 | 1,127 | 867 | 3.74 | 7.8 | 1.4\% | 12.5\% | 5.2\% | 2.1\% | 32.6\% | 6.4\% |
| US\$m | HSBC | 2000 | 5,589 | 6,628 | 0.74 | 20.0 | 1.0\% | 18.8\% | 4.0\% | 2.6\% | 55.3\% | 13.8\% |
| Price HK\$ | 85.75 | 2001 | 3,878 | 5,929 | 0.64 | 18.4 | 0.8\% | 15.5\% | 4.4\% | 2.5\% | 56.0\% | -14.4\% |
| Mkt Cap US\$m | 102,856 | 2002 | 5,037 | 6,348 | 0.68 | 16.2 | 0.9\% | 16.0\% | 4.8\% | 2.5\% | 56.0\% | 7.1\% |
| Rating | 3 | 2003 | 5,663 | 7,024 | 0.75 | 14.6 | 0.9\% | 17.0\% | 5.3\% | 2.5\% | 55.2\% | 10.7\% |
| COUNTRY AVERAGES |  |  | PER |  |  |  |  | ROA |  |  | ROE |  |
| exc. HSBC | Total Mkt Cap US\$m |  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Hong Kong | 27,240 |  | 18.3 | 14.6 | 15.6 | 14.6 | 2.1\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 22.7\% | 22.2\% | 20.7\% |
| Singapore | 31,350 |  | 15.0 | 15.9 | 14.0 | 12.8 | 1.4\% | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 10.4\% | 9.4\% | 9.5\% |
| South Korea | 25,150 |  | 9.2 | 7.7 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 1.1\% | 1.6\% | 1.7\% | 16.4\% | 29.6\% | 29.6\% |
| Taiwan | 11,564 |  | 12.2 | 17.3 | 12.1 | 10.1 | 1.1\% | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 8.8\% | 8.2\% | 9.0\% |
| Malaysia | 13,633 |  | 13.1 | 18.2 | 17.5 | 0.0 | 1.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.9\% | 13.8\% | 9.2\% | 10.1\% |
| Thailand | 8,120 |  | 7.5 | 13.4 | 17.9 | 20.3 | 1.5\% | -0.1\% | 0.2\% | 7.6\% | 2.4\% | 6.4\% |
| The Philippines | 4,276 |  | 37.4 | 31.1 | 30.9 | 14.0 | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | -0.5\% | 4.3\% | 5.0\% |
| Indonesia | 1,351 |  | 6.2 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 1.6\% | 2.1\% | 2.1\% | 40.1\% | 35.4\% | 26.8\% |
| REGION | 122,683 |  | 14.2 | 14.5 | 13.8 | 10.8 | 1.4\% | 1.3\% | 1.4\% | 14.3\% | 15.9\% | 15.9\% |

HONG KONG INDUSTRY STATISTICS

|  | Loan Growth (\% yoy) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3Q'01 | 2Q'01 | 1Q'01 | 4Q'00 | 3Q'00 | 2Q'00 | 1Q'00 | 4Q'99 | 3Q'99 | 2Q'99 | 1Q'99 | 4Q'98 |
| Property | -2.03 | -0.11 | 4.33 | 3.71 | 3.17 | 3.12 | -4.11 | -7.71 | -11.41 | -13.03 | -6.98 | -5.47 |
| Corporate | -8.38 | 8.98 | 8.15 | 11.24 | 13.42 | -6.66 | -9.78 | -10.82 | -10.63 | -9.14 | -5.51 | -6.83 |
| Finance | -21.89 | -7.16 | -7.71 | -8.22 | -0.95 | -10.20 | -15.18 | -18.31 | -21.86 | -23.76 | -20.20 | -13.25 |
| Trade | -14.74 | -14.68 | -18.83 | -16.60 | -16.32 | -14.21 | -16.55 | -19.81 | -18.65 | -19.34 | -13.52 | -12.65 |
| Mortgages | 0.12 | -0.08 | 0.09 | 0.66 | 0.32 | 0.52 | 2.58 | 3.29 | 5.46 | 6.39 | 5.72 | 7.29 |
| HOS and PSPS | 25.31 | 21.72 | 17.48 | 18.82 | 11.05 | 10.75 | 9.43 | 2.64 | 9.73 | 14.78 | 27.79 | 22.89 |
| Credit card | 18.86 | 22.39 | 25.11 | 30.15 | 25.07 | 20.40 | 15.06 | 7.17 | 7.49 | 7.33 | 11.86 | 13.98 |
| Consumer | -4.94 | -0.28 | -2.70 | -1.06 | 2.01 | -1.24 | -3.91 | -7.45 | -12.52 | -16.72 | -13.86 | -11.93 |
| Total loans | -4.14 | 1.36 | 1.40 | 2.29 | 2.94 | -2.08 | -4.94 | -7.17 | -7.99 | -8.44 | -5.18 | -3.77 |
|  | Loan Breakdown (\% of tota) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3Q'01 | 2Q'01 | 1Q'01 | 4Q'00 | 3Q'00 | 2Q'00 | 1Q'00 | 4Q'99 | 3Q'99 | 2Q'99 | 1Q'99 | 4Q'98 |
| Property | 21.32 | 21.44 | 22.04 | 21.40 | 20.86 | 21.76 | 21.42 | 21.11 | 20.81 | 20.66 | 21.23 | 21.23 |
| Corporate | 20.32 | 20.32 | 20.13 | 20.93 | 21.26 | 18.90 | 18.87 | 19.24 | 19.29 | 19.83 | 19.88 | 20.03 |
| Finance | 8.49 | 9.10 | 9.42 | 9.61 | 10.42 | 9.93 | 10.35 | 10.71 | 10.83 | 10.83 | 11.60 | 12.17 |
| Trade | 6.02 | 6.28 | 6.30 | 6.45 | 6.76 | 7.46 | 7.87 | 7.92 | 8.32 | 8.51 | 8.97 | 9.16 |
| Mortgages | 29.66 | 29.04 | 28.84 | 28.79 | 28.40 | 29.46 | 29.21 | 29.25 | 29.14 | 28.70 | 27.07 | 26.29 |
| HOS and PSPS | 5.85 | 5.61 | 5.30 | 4.86 | 4.47 | 4.67 | 4.58 | 4.19 | 4.15 | 4.13 | 3.98 | 3.79 |
| Credit card | 2.93 | 2.79 | 2.64 | 2.61 | 2.36 | 2.31 | 2.14 | 2.05 | 1.95 | 1.88 | 1.76 | 1.78 |
| Consumer | 5.42 | 5.42 | 5.33 | 5.34 | 5.46 | 5.50 | 5.56 | 5.52 | 5.51 | 5.46 | 5.50 | 5.54 |
| Total loan amount HK\$m | 1,808,883 | 1,837,610 | 1,847,609 | 1,861,465 | 1,887,017 | 1,812,928 | 1,822,148 | 1,819,792 | 1,833,176 | 1,851,451 | 1,916,824 | 1,960,371 |

Source: Company reports, Hong Kong Monetary Authority, Bloomberg, Lehman Brothers

| Total assets | Equity to assets | Tier 1 capital | NPL | NPL cover | Loan growth | BVPS | ABVPS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Price } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \text { BVPS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Price } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \text { ABVPS } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | HONG KONG |
| 178,942 | 9.5\% | 14.8\% | 3.6\% | 51.8\% | 23.7\% | 12.16 | 11.18 | 1.7 | 1.8 | BEA | HK\$m |
| 179,805 | 10.0\% | 14.2\% | 4.2\% | 52.8\% | 2.4\% | 12.60 | 11.63 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 15.35 | Price HK\$ |
| 182,992 | 10.3\% | 14.6\% | 5.4\% | 48.9\% | 1.7\% | 13.24 | 12.27 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 2,821 | Mkt Cap US\$m |
| 187,859 | 10.6\% | 14.9\% | 6.6\% | 45.1\% | 2.5\% | 13.91 | 12.94 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 4 | Rating |
| 49,702 | 9.4\% | 13.1\% | 2.3\% | 75.7\% | 8.3\% | 18.96 | 17.48 | 2.2 | 2.4 | DSF |  |
| 51,785 | 10.1\% | 13.8\% | 2.1\% | 129.5\% | 0.7\% | 21.28 | 19.80 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 33.00 |  |
| 52,228 | 11.2\% | 15.5\% | 1.7\% | 213.3\% | 2.0\% | 23.88 | 22.40 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1,043 |  |
| 53,334 | 12.3\% | 17.1\% | 1.4\% | 325.1\% | 3.2\% | 26.77 | 25.29 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 3 |  |
| 500,784 | 8.1\% | 11.9\% | 3.3\% | 59.9\% | 9.8\% | 21.23 | 16.11 | 4.9 | 6.5 | HSB |  |
| 475,748 | 8.5\% | 12.0\% | 2.9\% | 61.9\% | -0.4\% | 21.28 | 16.14 | 4.1 | 5.3 | 86.25 |  |
| 475,231 | 8.6\% | 12.1\% | 2.8\% | 64.9\% | 0.9\% | 21.37 | 16.31 | 4.0 | 5.3 | 21,143 |  |
| 482,776 | 8.8\% | 12.1\% | 2.7\% | 67.8\% | 2.6\% | 22.15 | 16.92 | 3.9 | 5.1 | 4 |  |
| 5,502 | 52.7\% | 63.6\% | 7.9\% | 104.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.10 | 4.10 | 1.1 | 1.1 | JCG |  |
| 4,815 | 64.4\% | 37.7\% | 7.6\% | 119.4\% | -10.6\% | 4.38 | 4.38 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 4.85 |  |
| 4,988 | 65.9\% | 77.2\% | 8.3\% | 109.9\% | -2.0\% | 4.65 | 4.65 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 440 |  |
| 5,201 | 67.5\% | 83.6\% | 9.6\% | 94.4\% | -1.6\% | 4.96 | 4.96 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2 |  |
| 54,530 | 10.0\% | 14.9\% | 4.0\% | 47.6\% | 4.2\% | 18.51 | 17.42 | 1.5 | 1.6 | WHB |  |
| 54,520 | 11.4\% | 17.0\% | 4.4\% | 42.0\% | 5.1\% | 21.14 | 19.99 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 24.60 |  |
| 54,935 | 12.8\% | 19.3\% | 3.8\% | 50.9\% | 1.0\% | 24.02 | 22.82 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 926 |  |
| 56,347 | 14.1\% | 21.4\% | 3.3\% | 60.5\% | 2.8\% | 27.16 | 25.89 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 4 |  |
| 65,482 | 8.6\% | 11.6\% | 3.6\% | 80.2\% | 3.2\% | 24.34 | 18.80 | 1.3 | 1.7 | WLB |  |
| 61,959 | 9.9\% | 13.8\% | 5.3\% | 74.1\% | -4.9\% | 26.50 | 20.96 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 29.15 |  |
| 61,399 | 10.8\% | 15.8\% | 4.3\% | 99.2\% | -0.9\% | 28.61 | 23.07 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 868 |  |
| 62,917 | 11.4\% | 17.1\% | 3.5\% | 131.4\% | 1.2\% | 30.85 | 25.31 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 4 |  |
| 673,814 | 5.5\% | 9.0\% | 3.6\% | 79.0\% | 13.9\% | 3.97 | 3.29 | 3.7 | 4.5 | HSBC | US\$m |
| 698,758 | 5.5\% | 9.3\% | 3.1\% | 87.2\% | 1.5\% | 4.10 | 3.44 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 85.75 | Price HK\$ |
| 744,178 | 5.3\% | 9.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | 4.25 | 3.68 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 102,856 | Mkt Cap US\$m |
| 792,549 | 5.2\% | 9.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.5\% | 4.43 | 3.94 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 3 | Rating |
|  | Yield |  |  | NPL |  | Price to | book | Price to | ABVPS |  |  |
| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 |  |  |
| 4.4\% | 5.4\% | 5.5\% | 3.4\% | 3.2\% | 3.2\% | 4.2 | 3.4 | 5.5 | 4.5 |  | Hong Kong |
| 2.8\% | 2.0\% | 2.9\% | 5.7\% | 5.3\% | 4.4\% | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 2.8 |  | Singapore |
| 2.9\% | 3.3\% | 3.7\% | 9.8\% | 7.9\% | 7.1\% | 1.1 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 2.2 |  | South Korea |
| 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 2.3\% | 3.1\% | 3.6\% | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |  | Taiwan |
| 1.6\% | 1.2\% | 1.6\% | 6.8\% | 8.6\% | 6.3\% | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.9 |  | Malaysia |
| 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.0\% | 17.3\% | 15.2\% | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.8 |  | Thailand |
| 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.4\% | 34.4\% | 30.6\% | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 |  | Philippines |
| 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 4.7\% | 18.8\% | 25.9\% | 23.9\% | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.5 |  | Indonesia |
| 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 7.7\% | 7.6\% | 6.7\% | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.8 |  | REGION |

Interest rate spreads (\%)


|  | Interest rates (period averages, \%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | This wk | Current Q | 4Q'01 | 3Q'01 | 2Q'01 | 1Q'01 | 4Q'00 | 3Q'00 | 2Q'00 | 1Q'00 | 4Q'99 |
| 3M Interbank | 1.9955 | 1.9347 | 2.0789 | 3.3666 | 4.1099 | 5.1614 | 6.0590 | 6.2448 | 6.5435 | 5.9741 | 6.3104 |
| Prime lending | 5.1250 | 5.1250 | 5.3438 | 6.5951 | 7.3956 | 8.7000 | 9.5000 | 9.5000 | 9.1107 | 8.6429 | 8.5000 |
| Savings | 0.1250 | 0.1250 | 0.3438 | 1.5924 | 2.6456 | 3.9500 | 4.7500 | 4.7500 | 4.3607 | 3.8929 | 3.7500 |
| 3M LIBOR | 1.9013 | 1.8596 | 2.1462 | 3.4587 | 4.1900 | 5.3413 | 6.6874 | 6.7000 | 6.5137 | 6.1097 | 6.1377 |
| US Fed Funds | 1.7500 | 1.7234 | 2.1284 | 3.4382 | 4.3118 | 5.5826 | 6.4891 | 6.5279 | 6.1539 | 5.7342 | 5.2897 |
| US Prime lending | 4.7500 | 4.7500 | 5.1576 | 6.5707 | 7.3379 | 8.6278 | 9.5000 | 9.5000 | 9.1414 | 8.6868 | 8.3723 |
| US 30-yr T-bill | 5.3670 | 5.4155 | 5.3056 | 5.5174 | 5.6997 | 5.4446 | 5.6834 | 5.7876 | 5.9905 | 6.2957 | 6.2437 |

Figure 8: Singapore Bank Universe - Forecasts and Valuation


SINGAPORE INDUSTRY STATISTICS

|  | Loan Growth (\% yoy) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nov'01 | Oct'01 | Sep'01 | Aug'01 | Jul'01 | Jun'01 | May'01 | Apr'01 | Mar'01 | Feb'01 | Jan'01 | Dec'00 |
| Manufacturing | 2.61 | 2.86 | -1.39 | -5.46 | 3.07 | -1.07 | 1.20 | 5.24 | 4.73 | 7.96 | 6.77 | 0.40 |
| Building \& Construction | 3.85 | 4.27 | 4.58 | 5.13 | 5.27 | 8.79 | 10.18 | 13.05 | 13.34 | 10.59 | 10.22 | 9.39 |
| Residential Mortgage | 6.25 | 6.55 | 6.46 | 6.33 | 6.23 | 6.25 | 7.03 | 7.00 | 6.97 | 7.35 | 7.41 | 6.92 |
| General Commerce | -8.67 | -7.94 | -3.85 | -3.54 | -5.38 | -1.42 | -3.57 | -4.17 | -4.83 | -4.59 | -3.09 | -4.92 |
| Transport, Storage \& Comr | 84.47 | 81.86 | 63.05 | 28.29 | 29.38 | 31.69 | 17.32 | 17.14 | 16.72 | 7.31 | 10.28 | 10.18 |
| Financial Institutions | 4.14 | 0.12 | 0.68 | -0.91 | 1.93 | 0.18 | -1.24 | -1.06 | -1.87 | -0.77 | 0.17 | -0.94 |
| Consumer | 5.95 | 6.58 | 6.89 | 7.70 | 8.18 | 10.75 | 11.09 | 11.70 | 12.63 | 13.53 | 14.67 | 16.97 |
| Total loans | 4.24 | 3.60 | 3.72 | 2.62 | 3.65 | 4.50 | 4.21 | 4.38 | 4.29 | 4.34 | 4.62 | 4.31 |
| Loan Breakdown (\% of total) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nov'01 | Oct'01 | Sep'01 | Aug'01 | Jul'01 | Jun'01 | May'01 | Apr'01 | Mar'01 | Feb'01 | Jan'01 | Dec'00 |
| Manufacturing | 6.71 | 6.66 | 6.70 | 6.62 | 6.87 | 7.01 | 6.97 | 7.10 | 7.12 | 7.30 | 7.24 | 6.84 |
| Building \& Construction | 14.93 | 15.01 | 15.07 | 15.25 | 14.90 | 14.80 | 14.78 | 15.03 | 15.07 | 15.05 | 15.05 | 15.10 |
| Residential Mortgage | 24.83 | 24.94 | 24.86 | 24.99 | 24.73 | 24.46 | 24.57 | 24.65 | 24.71 | 24.59 | 24.40 | 24.34 |
| General Commerce | 10.13 | 10.33 | 10.48 | 10.58 | 10.53 | 10.87 | 10.86 | 10.93 | 10.90 | 11.07 | 11.30 | 11.17 |
| Transport, Storage \& Comr | 3.84 | 3.88 | 3.63 | 2.96 | 2.95 | 2.95 | 2.61 | 2.55 | 2.57 | 2.49 | 2.48 | 2.43 |
| Financial Institutions | 12.33 | 12.10 | 12.20 | 12.22 | 12.66 | 12.87 | 13.06 | 12.76 | 12.51 | 12.45 | 12.40 | 12.29 |
| Consumer | 18.18 | 18.27 | 18.23 | 18.26 | 18.02 | 17.86 | 17.84 | 17.86 | 17.98 | 17.76 | 17.76 | 17.91 |
| Total loans | \$175,535 | \$173,696 | \$173,019 | \$171,525 | \$172,262 | \$173,077 | \$172,100 | \$170,534 | \$169,444 | \$170,110 | \$170,883 | \$169,833 |

Source: Company reports, Monetary Authority of Singapore, Bloomberg, Lehman Brothers

| Total assets | Equity to assets | Tier 1 capital | NPL | NPL cover | Loan growth | BVPS | ABVPS | Price to BVPS | $\begin{gathered} \text { Price } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { ABVPS } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SINGAPORE |
| 111,228 | 10.5\% | - \% | 5.0\% | 51.8\% | 6.4\% | 9.60 | 8.63 | 1.6 | 1.8 | DBS | SGDm |
| 113,745 | 11.1\% | - \% | 3.4\% | 59.5\% | 19.4\% | 10.38 | 3.97 | 1.3 | 3.5 | 13.70 | Price SGD |
| 118,045 | 11.5\% | - \% | 2.6\% | 62.6\% | 2.8\% | 11.13 | 4.99 | 1.2 | 2.7 | 10,818 | Mkt Cap US\$m |
| 122,509 | 11.7\% | - \% | 2.2\% | 71.2\% | 2.9\% | 11.83 | 5.96 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 3 | Rating |
| 59,710 | 18.7\% | - \% | 8.0\% | 56.3\% | 8.5\% | 8.71 | 6.34 | 1.2 | 1.6 | освС |  |
| 89,366 | 15.0\% | - \% | 7.0\% | 54.8\% | 16.1\% | 10.44 | 5.13 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 12.50 |  |
| 89,374 | 15.9\% | - \% | 6.1\% | 52.2\% | 5.1\% | 11.05 | 5.83 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 8,784 |  |
| 91,661 | 16.4\% | - \% | 5.6\% | 57.9\% | 1.1\% | 11.66 | 6.53 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 3 |  |
| 66,324 | 12.8\% | - \% | 4.6\% | 69.4\% | 20.7\% | 8.08 | 6.62 | 1.3 | 1.6 | UOB |  |
| 114,968 | 12.5\% | - \% | 6.0\% | 50.7\% | -5.5\% | 9.14 | 5.61 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 13.70 |  |
| 123,157 | 12.0\% | - \% | 4.8\% | 54.1\% | 0.2\% | 9.40 | 5.97 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 11,748 |  |
| 131,928 | 11.8\% | - \% | 3.9\% | 56.2\% | 1.3\% | 9.91 | 6.59 | 1.4 | 2.1 | , |  |
|  | Yield |  |  | NPL |  | Price to | book | Price to | ABVPS |  |  |
| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 |  |  |
| 4.4\% | 5.4\% | 5.5\% | 3.4\% | 3.2\% | 3.2\% | 4.2 | 3.4 | 5.5 | 4.5 |  | Hong Kong |
| 2.8\% | 2.0\% | 2.9\% | 5.7\% | 5.3\% | 4.4\% | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 2.8 |  | Singapore |
| 2.9\% | 3.3\% | 3.7\% | 9.8\% | 7.9\% | 7.1\% | 1.1 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 2.2 |  | South Korea |
| 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 2.3\% | 3.1\% | 3.6\% | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |  | Taiwan |
| 1.6\% | 1.2\% | 1.6\% | 6.8\% | 8.6\% | 6.3\% | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.9 |  | Thailand |
| 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.0\% | 17.3\% | 15.2\% | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.8 |  | Malaysia |
| 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.4\% | 34.4\% | 30.6\% | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 |  | Philippines |
| 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 4.7\% | 18.8\% | 25.9\% | 23.9\% | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.5 |  | Indonesia |
| 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 7.7\% | 7.6\% | 6.7\% | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.8 |  | REGION |

Interest rate spreads (\%)


|  | This wk | Current Q | 4Q'01 | $3 Q^{\prime} 01$ | $2 Q^{\prime} 01$ | $1 Q^{\prime} 01$ | $4 Q^{\prime} 00$ | $3 Q^{\prime} 00$ | $2 Q^{\prime} 00$ | $1 Q^{\prime} 00$ | $4 Q^{\prime} 99$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O/N Interbank | 0.5625 | 0.5237 | 0.4708 | 2.0102 | 2.0240 | 2.1653 | 2.6481 | 1.8750 | 2.1388 | 1.5412 | 1.1359 |
| 3M Interbank | 0.9375 | 1.0023 | 1.1039 | 2.2255 | 2.2665 | 2.2819 | 2.7157 | 2.5489 | 2.5353 | 2.3661 | 2.6692 |
| Prime lending | 4.8300 | 4.8309 | 4.8865 | 5.3526 | 5.3800 | 5.3800 | 5.3800 | 5.3800 | 5.3800 | 5.3800 | 5.3800 |
| 3M Deposits | 0.8750 | 0.8750 | 0.9022 | 1.2446 | 1.2500 | 1.2500 | 1.2500 | 1.2500 | 1.2500 | 1.2500 | 1.2500 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3M LIBOR | 1.9013 | 1.8596 | 2.1462 | 3.4587 | 4.1900 | 5.3413 | 6.6874 | 6.7000 | 6.5137 | 6.1097 | 6.1377 |
| US Fed Funds | 1.7500 | 1.7234 | 2.1284 | 3.4382 | 4.3118 | 5.5826 | 6.4891 | 6.5279 | 6.1539 | 5.7342 | 5.2897 |
| US Prime lending | 4.7500 | 4.7500 | 5.1576 | 6.5707 | 7.3379 | 8.6278 | 9.5000 | 9.5000 | 9.1414 | 8.6868 | 8.3723 |
| US 30-yr T-bill | 5.3670 | 5.4155 | 5.3056 | 5.5174 | 5.6997 | 5.4446 | 5.6834 | 5.7876 | 5.9905 | 6.2957 | 6.2437 |

Figure 9: South Korea Bank Universe - Forecasts and Valuation

|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PP } \\ \text { Profit } \end{array}$ | Net Profit | EPS | PER | ROA | ROE | Yield \% | Net interest margin | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { income } \end{gathered}$ | EPS growth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOUTH KOREA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KRWm | Hana | 2000 | 618,865 | 17,771 | 143 | 41.4 | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 3.4\% | 1.8\% | 57.4\% | -89.1\% |
| Price KRW | 18,400 | 2001 | 840,847 | 358,870 | 2,886 | 5.9 | 0.8\% | 22.8\% | 5.2\% | 2.1\% | 54.7\% | 1919.4\% |
| Mkt Cap US\$m | 1,898 | 2002 | 957,801 | 504,965 | 4,060 | 4.5 | 1.1\% | 28.3\% | 6.9\% | 2.3\% | 54.4\% | 40.7\% |
| Rating | 2 | 2003 | 1,035,242 | 613,216 | 4,931 | 3.7 | 1.2\% | 30.9\% | 8.4\% | 2.2\% | 53.3\% | 21.4\% |
|  | Kookmin | 2000 | 3,345,683 | 1,105,983 | 3,860 | 6.6 | 0.8\% | 19.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 47.1\% | 150.1\% |
|  | 55,000 | 2001 | 5,140,874 | 2,196,173 | 7,326 | 6.8 | 1.3\% | 31.9\% | 4.4\% | 2.6\% | 38.0\% | 89.8\% |
|  | 15,012 | 2002 | 5,192,365 | 2,683,628 | 8,952 | 6.1 | 1.4\% | 31.5\% | 4.9\% | 2.7\% | 39.3\% | 22.2\% |
|  | 1 | 2003 | 5,515,296 | 2,552,208 | 8,514 | 6.5 | 1.2\% | 25.4\% | 4.6\% | 2.5\% | 38.0\% | -4.9\% |
|  | Kookmin CC | 2000 | 551,594 | 300,541 | 10,278 | 2.7 | 4.8\% | 52.3\% | 0.0\% | 8.8\% | 43.9\% | na |
|  | 51,900 | 2001 | 1,085,243 | 458,083 | 6,258 | 8.0 | 4.9\% | 45.9\% | 0.0\% | 12.8\% | 38.4\% | -39.1\% |
|  | 2,874 | 2002 | 1,193,942 | 492,196 | 6,724 | 7.7 | 4.3\% | 36.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 38.4\% | 7.4\% |
|  | NR | 2003 | 1,326,112 | 479,928 | 6,556 | 7.9 | 3.6\% | 28.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 38.2\% | -2.5\% |
|  | KorAm | 2000 | 455,691 | $(396,048)$ | $(2,429)$ | NM | -1.5\% | -52.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 62.5\% | -571.2\% |
|  | 11,950 | 2001 | 491,069 | 165,619 | 1,016 | 11.8 | 0.6\% | 18.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 63.7\% | -141.8\% |
|  | 1,474 | 2002 | 566,962 | 295,414 | 1,812 | 6.6 | 1.0\% | 27.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 61.7\% | 78.4\% |
|  | 2 | 2003 | 709,847 | 417,632 | 2,561 | 4.7 | 1.2\% | 31.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 58.7\% | 41.4\% |
|  | Shinhan | 2000 | 1,027,362 | 337,834 | 1,293 | 8.0 | 0.7\% | 11.1\% | 7.2\% | 2.1\% | 44.3\% | 31.6\% |
|  | 17,600 | 2001 | 1,126,922 | 498,607 | 1,706 | 10.3 | 0.9\% | 16.2\% | 1.5\% | 2.2\% | 44.2\% | 31.9\% |
|  | 3,892 | 2002 | 1,214,403 | 625,545 | 2,140 | 8.2 | 1.0\% | 18.8\% | 1.9\% | 2.3\% | 54.2\% | 25.5\% |
|  | 3 | 2003 | 1,252,879 | 696,671 | 2,291 | 7.7 | 1.1\% | 18.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 53.5\% | 7.1\% |
| COUNTRY AVERAGES |  |  | PER |  |  |  |  | ROA |  |  | ROE |  |
| exc. HSBC | Total Mkt Cap US\$m |  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Hong Kong | 27,240 |  | 18.3 | 14.6 | 15.6 | 14.6 | 2.1\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 22.7\% | 22.2\% | 20.7\% |
| Singapore | 31,350 |  | 15.0 | 15.9 | 14.0 | 12.8 | 1.4\% | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 10.4\% | 9.4\% | 9.5\% |
| South Korea | 25,150 |  | 9.2 | 7.7 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 1.1\% | 1.6\% | 1.7\% | 16.4\% | 29.6\% | 29.6\% |
| Taiwan | 11,564 |  | 12.2 | 17.3 | 12.1 | 10.1 | 1.1\% | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 8.8\% | 8.2\% | 9.0\% |
| Malaysia | 13,633 |  | 13.1 | 18.2 | 17.5 | 0.0 | 1.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.9\% | 13.8\% | 9.2\% | 10.1\% |
| Thailand | 8,120 |  | 7.5 | 13.4 | 17.9 | 20.3 | 1.5\% | -0.1\% | 0.2\% | 7.6\% | 2.4\% | 6.4\% |
| The Philippines | 4,276 |  | 37.4 | 31.1 | 30.9 | 14.0 | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | -0.5\% | 4.3\% | 5.0\% |
| Indonesia | 1,351 |  | 6.2 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 1.6\% | 2.1\% | 2.1\% | 40.1\% | 35.4\% | 26.8\% |
| REGION | 122,683 |  | 14.2 | 14.5 | 13.8 | 10.8 | 1.4\% | 1.3\% | 1.4\% | 14.3\% | 15.9\% | 15.9\% |

SOUTH KOREA INDUSTRY STATISTICS

|  | Loan Growth (\% yoy) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3Q'01 | 2Q'01 | 1Q'01 | 4Q'00 | 3Q'00 | 2Q'00 | 1Q'00 | 4Q'99 | 3Q'99 | 2Q'99 | 1Q'99 | 4Q'98 |
| Corporate | 6.25 | 9.44 | 14.06 | 19.69 | 15.91 | 24.31 | 25.59 | 22.55 | 18.48 | 2.75 | 2.76 | 8.31 |
| Manufacturing | 2.14 | 4.18 | 7.25 | 12.41 | 13.78 | 16.56 | 17.45 | 12.91 | 14.47 | -0.12 | -8.66 | -4.85 |
| Trade | 2.98 | 4.92 | 9.88 | 14.12 | 21.81 | 32.12 | 27.45 | 32.63 | 32.60 | 11.66 | 0.20 | -3.68 |
| Financials | -42.18 | -17.62 | 23.15 | 85.72 | 120.86 | 54.07 | 25.67 | 12.99 | 3.05 | 17.54 | 10.85 | 75.10 |
| Property | 3.06 | 9.31 | 10.86 | 15.19 | 25.50 | 18.70 | 16.88 | 19.54 | 18.60 | 5.64 | 4.60 | 10.73 |
| Mortgage | 16.58 | 6.89 | 13.54 | 20.57 | 16.52 | 33.72 | 23.90 | 11.93 | 3.10 | -6.14 | -5.33 | -0.46 |
| Consumer | 46.48 | 38.94 | 40.59 | 44.22 | 54.68 | 56.83 | 60.23 | 59.10 | 42.99 | 28.99 | 6.22 | -7.49 |
| Total | 13.31 | 13.64 | 17.68 | 24.20 | 27.19 | 30.32 | 28.46 | 24.94 | 20.50 | 6.74 | -1.52 | -0.06 |
| Loan Breakdown (\% of total) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3Q'01 | 2Q'01 | 1Q'01 | 4Q'00 | 3Q'00 | 2Q'00 | 1Q'00 | 4Q'99 | 3Q'99 | 2Q'99 | 1Q'99 | 4Q'98 |
| Corporate | 14.93 | 15.40 | 15.96 | 15.67 | 15.92 | 15.99 | 16.47 | 16.26 | 17.47 | 16.77 | 16.84 | 16.58 |
| Manufacturing | 26.85 | 27.63 | 28.86 | 28.87 | 29.79 | 30.14 | 31.66 | 31.90 | 33.30 | 33.70 | 34.63 | 35.29 |
| Trade | 7.83 | 8.04 | 8.07 | 8.13 | 8.61 | 8.71 | 8.64 | 8.85 | 8.99 | 8.59 | 8.71 | 8.34 |
| Financials | 2.19 | 2.56 | 3.09 | 4.56 | 4.28 | 3.53 | 2.95 | 3.05 | 2.47 | 2.98 | 3.02 | 3.37 |
| Property | 7.64 | 7.60 | 7.74 | 7.72 | 8.40 | 7.90 | 8.22 | 8.32 | 8.51 | 8.68 | 9.03 | 8.70 |
| Mortgage | 8.14 | 8.24 | 8.46 | 8.48 | 7.91 | 8.76 | 8.77 | 8.73 | 8.63 | 8.53 | 9.09 | 9.75 |
| Consumer | 32.43 | 30.53 | 27.83 | 26.58 | 25.09 | 24.97 | 23.29 | 22.89 | 20.63 | 20.75 | 18.67 | 17.97 |
| Total | \$344,439 | \$327,693 | \$314,170 | \$310,804 | \$303,973 | \$288,364 | \$266,965 | \$250,240 | \$238,998 | \$221,271 | \$207,814 | \$200,289 |

[^2]| Total assets | Equity to assets | Tier 1 capital | NPL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NPL } \\ & \text { cover } \end{aligned}$ | Loan growth | BVPS | ABVPS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Price } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \text { BVPS } \end{aligned}$ | Price to ABVPS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SOUTH KOREA |
| 43,236,669 | 4.1\% | 6.5\% | 9.6\% | 38.1\% | 34.0\% | 11,535 | 11,530 | 0.5 | 0.5 | Hana | KRWm |
| 45,072,403 | 4.2\% | 7.3\% | 7.6\% | 33.0\% | 3.7\% | 13,533 | 13,527 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 18,400.00 | Price KRW |
| 49,489,462 | 4.0\% | 7.4\% | 7.5\% | 33.5\% | 9.7\% | 15,070 | 15,065 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1,898 | Mkt Cap US\$m |
| 53,599,712 | 4.1\% | 7.6\% | 7.3\% | 37.7\% | 8.2\% | 16,705 | 16,700 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 2 | Rating |
| 152,985,226 | 4.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.9\% | 32.1\% | 34.7\% | 21,226 | 19,831 | 1.2 | 1.3 | Kookmin |  |
| 176,900,537 | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 9.1\% | 32.6\% | 13.3\% | 25,526 | 24,191 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 55,000.00 |  |
| 196,291,961 | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 36.8\% | 10.9\% | 31,218 | 29,882 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 15,012 |  |
| 216,971,099 | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 47.8\% | 10.6\% | 35,874 | 34,539 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1 |  |
| 8,181,476 | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 72.2\% | 99.7\% | 27,446 | 27,446 | 1.0 | 1.0 | KCC |  |
| 10,577,683 | 11.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 84.7\% | 32.0\% | 16,334 | 16,334 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 51,900.00 |  |
| 12,270,112 | 12.6\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 72.6\% | 13.5\% | 21,041 | 21,041 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2,874 |  |
| 14,233,330 | 13.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 69.4\% | 16.0\% | 25,630 | 25,630 | 2.0 | 2.0 | NR |  |
| 28,663,059 | 2.8\% | 5.0\% | 11.8\% | 70.8\% | 30.0\% | 4,898 | 4,897 | 1.0 | 1.0 | KorAm |  |
| 28,821,853 | 3.2\% | 5.8\% | 12.0\% | 41.2\% | -5.8\% | 5,715 | 5,713 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 11,950.00 |  |
| 32,297,966 | 3.5\% | 6.2\% | 10.6\% | 42.1\% | 11.4\% | 6,951 | 6,949 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1,474 |  |
| 34,968,002 | 4.2\% | 7.4\% | 9.2\% | 42.9\% | 8.1\% | 8,956 | 8,955 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 2 |  |
| 50,076,050 | 6.1\% | 12.3\% | 9.9\% | 23.8\% | 21.1\% | 11,738 | 11,533 | 0.9 | 0.9 | Shinhan |  |
| 56,329,649 | 5.5\% | 11.2\% | 5.4\% | 33.1\% | 20.6\% | 10,518 | 10,334 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 17,600.00 |  |
| 62,954,847 | 5.7\% | 11.6\% | 5.7\% | 36.8\% | 9.2\% | 12,267 | 12,083 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 3,892 |  |
| 68,455,195 | 6.1\% | 12.2\% | 6.1\% | 35.1\% | 8.6\% | 13,688 | 13,511 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 3 |  |
|  | Yield |  |  | NPL |  | Price to | book | Price to | BVPS |  |  |
| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 |  |  |
| 4.4\% | 5.4\% | 5.5\% | 3.4\% | 3.2\% | 3.2\% | 4.2 | 3.4 | 5.5 | 4.5 |  | Hong Kong |
| 2.8\% | 2.0\% | 2.9\% | 5.7\% | 5.3\% | 4.4\% | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 2.8 |  | Singapore |
| 2.9\% | 3.3\% | 3.7\% | 9.8\% | 7.9\% | 7.1\% | 1.1 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 2.2 |  | South Korea |
| 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 2.3\% | 3.1\% | 3.6\% | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |  | Taiwan |
| 1.6\% | 1.2\% | 1.6\% | 6.8\% | 8.6\% | 6.3\% | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.9 |  | Thailand |
| 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.0\% | 17.3\% | 15.2\% | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.8 |  | Malaysia |
| 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.4\% | 34.4\% | 30.6\% | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 |  | Philippines |
| 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 4.7\% | 18.8\% | 25.9\% | 23.9\% | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.5 |  | Indonesia |
| 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 7.7\% | 7.6\% | 6.7\% | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.8 |  | REGION |

Interest rate spreads (\%)


|  | This wk | Current Q | 4Q'01 | 3Q'01 | 2Q'01 | 1Q'01 | 4Q'00 | 3Q'00 | 2Q'00 | 1Q'00 | 4Q'99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O/N Interbank | 4.1000 | 4.1000 | 4.1000 | 4.7292 | 5.1046 | 5.2354 | 5.3835 | 5.1780 | 5.1023 | 4.9054 | 4.7110 |
| Prime lending | 9.5500 | 9.5500 | 9.5978 | 9.7500 | 9.7500 | 9.7500 | 9.7500 | 9.7500 | 9.7500 | 9.7500 | 9.7500 |
| 3M Deposits | 4.4900 | 4.6932 | 4.5780 | 4.9691 | 5.7865 | 5.9463 | 6.9737 | 7.0751 | 7.0848 | 7.1408 | 7.1223 |
| 3M LIBOR | 1.9013 | 1.8596 | 2.1462 | 3.4587 | 4.1900 | 5.3413 | 6.6874 | 6.7000 | 6.5137 | 6.1097 | 6.1377 |
| US Fed Funds | 1.7500 | 1.7234 | 2.1284 | 3.4382 | 4.3118 | 5.5826 | 6.4891 | 6.5279 | 6.1539 | 5.7342 | 5.2897 |
| US Prime lending | 4.7500 | 4.7500 | 5.1576 | 6.5707 | 7.3379 | 8.6278 | 9.5000 | 9.5000 | 9.1414 | 8.6868 | 8.3723 |
| US 30-yr T-bill | 5.3670 | 5.4155 | 5.3056 | 5.5174 | 5.6997 | 5.4446 | 5.6834 | 5.7876 | 5.9905 | 6.2957 | 6.2437 |

Figure 10: Lehman Brothers Asian Bank Universe - Forecasts and Valuation (Continued)


| Total assets | Equity to assets | Tier 1 capital | NPL | NPL cover | Loan growth | BVPS | ABVPS | Price to BVPS | Price to ABVPS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | THAILAND |
| 1,240,400 | 2.7\% | - \% | 28.8\% | 26.9\% | -14.3\% | 23.15 | 11.52 | 1.5 | 3.0 | BBL | THBm |
| 1,298,075 | 2.8\% | - \% | 28.5\% | 35.4\% | -2.9\% | 24.91 | 13.85 | 2.5 | 4.6 | 63.00 | Price THB |
| 1,376,915 | 3.2\% | - \% | 25.0\% | 42.4\% | -2.0\% | 29.75 | 19.55 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 2,106 | Mkt Cap US\$m |
| 1,471,249 | 3.5\% | - \% | 21.0\% | 50.6\% | -0.3\% | 35.46 | 26.05 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 4 | Rating |
| 435,112 | 3.6\% | - \% | 29.7\% | 8.8\% | -8.9\% | 8.36 | 7.53 | 0.6 | 0.7 | BAY |  |
| 441,366 | 3.5\% | - \% | 27.6\% | 11.2\% | 0.9\% | 8.31 | 6.33 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 5.75 |  |
| 451,279 | 2.7\% | - \% | 23.9\% | 16.0\% | -1.0\% | 6.66 | 4.83 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 243 |  |
| 462,552 | 2.0\% | - \% | 19.9\% | 22.7\% | 1.0\% | 4.99 | 3.30 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 4 |  |
| 179,714 | 4.9\% | - \% | 23.0\% | 28.2\% | 13.8\% | 7.64 | 7.04 | 1.1 | 1.2 | IFCT |  |
| 198,153 | 4.0\% | - \% | 21.7\% | 26.7\% | 2.5\% | 6.87 | 6.31 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 7.00 |  |
| 210,957 | 3.6\% | - \% | 17.9\% | 31.2\% | -0.5\% | 6.59 | 6.08 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 185 |  |
| 226,234 | 3.3\% | - \% | 14.4\% | 36.4\% | 1.3\% | 6.46 | 5.98 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 3 |  |
| 990,093 | 6.6\% | - \% | 28.3\% | 12.6\% | -58.4\% | 2.98 | 2.80 | 3.9 | 4.1 | KTB |  |
| 996,582 | 6.1\% | - \% | 27.9\% | 26.3\% | 0.5\% | 5.41 | 5.07 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 13.50 |  |
| 1,029,033 | 6.1\% | - \% | 25.0\% | 32.6\% | -3.5\% | 5.66 | 5.34 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 3,441 |  |
| 1,070,392 | 6.1\% | - \% | 21.6\% | 41.2\% | -1.7\% | 5.85 | 5.56 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 4 |  |
| 718,789 | 8.5\% | - \% | 30.4\% | 17.1\% | -0.6\% | 19.50 | 16.67 | 1.0 | 1.2 | SCB |  |
| 751,415 | 8.5\% | - \% | 32.6\% | 18.8\% | -3.9\% | 20.34 | 17.67 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 24.50 |  |
| 802,895 | 8.4\% | - \% | 28.4\% | 24.4\% | -0.5\% | 21.51 | 19.04 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 474 |  |
| 864,191 | 8.3\% | - \% | 23.7\% | 32.2\% | 1.0\% | 22.83 | 20.56 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 3 |  |
| 766,630 | 3.4\% | - \% | 35.7\% | 35.6\% | -2.3\% | 10.95 | 8.14 | 2.2 | 2.9 | TFB |  |
| 811,195 | 3.2\% | - \% | 31.2\% | 42.2\% | -6.8\% | 11.02 | 8.35 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 26.00 |  |
| 866,771 | 3.3\% | - \% | 26.5\% | 51.7\% | -1.5\% | 12.07 | 9.60 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 1,395 |  |
| 932,943 | 3.4\% | - \% | 21.9\% | 64.1\% | 0.0\% | 13.27 | 10.99 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 3 |  |
| 336,557 | 3.9\% | - \% | 42.6\% | 9.7\% | -6.5\% | 3.26 | 3.01 | 1.7 | 1.9 | TMB |  |
| 369,963 | 3.1\% | - \% | 35.8\% | 10.7\% | 1.9\% | 2.87 | 2.64 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 6.00 |  |
| 392,433 | 2.8\% | - \% | 33.3\% | 14.8\% | -2.0\% | 2.72 | 2.50 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 275 |  |
| 419,319 | 2.4\% | - \% | 30.2\% | 19.5\% | 1.0\% | 2.54 | 2.35 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 4 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TAIWAN |
| 721,867 | 9.5\% | 9.2\% | 1.9\% | 65.6\% | 17.8\% | 17.29 | 17.29 | 1.1 | 1.1 | Chinatrust |  |
| 770,325 | 9.8\% | 10.1\% | 1.6\% | 40.9\% | 6.5\% | 16.31 | 16.31 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 24.80 |  |
| 875,027 | 9.6\% | 10.6\% | 2.7\% | 75.2\% | 13.9\% | 18.25 | 18.25 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 3,254 |  |
| 986,354 | 9.6\% | 10.4\% | 2.6\% | 75.1\% | 12.8\% | 20.52 | 20.52 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1 |  |
| 428,406 | 23.3\% | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | Fubon |  |
| 473,960 | 22.3\% | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | 18.82 | 18.82 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 17.80 |  |
| 501,211 | 22.4\% | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | 19.93 | 19.93 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 4,508 |  |
| 534,937 | 22.4\% | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | 21.24 | 21.24 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 2 |  |
| 235,057 | 9.4\% | 14.3\% | 0.8\% | 101.1\% | 15.4\% | 12.62 | 12.62 | 1.1 | 1.1 | SinoPac | NT\$m |
| 270,508 | 8.9\% | 13.4\% | 1.6\% | 54.1\% | 17.1\% | 12.43 | 12.43 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 14.05 | Price NT\$ |
| 290,438 | 9.2\% | 14.0\% | 1.6\% | 52.5\% | 5.0\% | 13.69 | 13.69 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 778 | Mkt Cap US\$m |
| 342,750 | 8.6\% | 12.9\% | 1.4\% | 45.5\% | 19.8\% | 15.08 | 15.08 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 2 | Rating |
| 275,860 | 10.2\% | 9.8\% | 2.3\% | 49.3\% | 5.3\% | 15.23 | 14.62 | 0.9 | 0.9 | Taishin |  |
| 309,430 | 9.6\% | 11.2\% | 2.3\% | 64.8\% | 10.4\% | 16.67 | 16.67 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 15.00 |  |
| 349,971 | 9.2\% | 11.3\% | 2.3\% | 73.8\% | 12.2\% | 17.92 | 17.92 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 983 |  |
| 385,149 | 9.0\% | 11.5\% | 2.3\% | 78.2\% | 10.1\% | 19.39 | 19.39 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 3 |  |
| 661,237 | 9.6\% | 10.9\% | 3.4\% | 26.9\% | 14.2\% | 18.52 | 18.52 | 1.2 | 1.2 | UWCCB |  |
| 672,025 | 10.0\% | 13.2\% | 6.3\% | 18.8\% | -2.7\% | 17.91 | 17.91 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 19.00 |  |
| 732,011 | 9.8\% | 13.1\% | 6.4\% | 15.5\% | 7.8\% | 19.11 | 19.11 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2,041 |  |
| 812,280 | 9.5\% | 12.8\% | 6.3\% | 9.6\% | 9.6\% | 20.54 | 20.54 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 4 |  |
|  | Yield |  |  | NPL |  | Price to | book | Price to | ABVPS |  |  |
| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 |  | exc. HSBC |
| 4.4\% | 5.4\% | 5.5\% | 3.4\% | 3.2\% | 3.2\% | 4.2 | 3.4 | 5.5 | 4.5 |  | Hong Kong |
| 2.8\% | 2.0\% | 2.9\% | 5.7\% | 5.3\% | 4.4\% | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 2.8 |  | Singapore |
| 2.9\% | 3.3\% | 3.7\% | 9.8\% | 7.9\% | 7.1\% | 1.1 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 2.2 |  | South Korea |
| 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 2.3\% | 3.1\% | 3.6\% | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |  | Taiwan |
| 1.6\% | 1.2\% | 1.6\% | 6.8\% | 8.6\% | 6.3\% | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.9 |  | Thailand |
| 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.0\% | 17.3\% | 15.2\% | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.8 |  | Malaysia |
| 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.4\% | 34.4\% | 30.6\% | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 |  | Philippines |
| 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 4.7\% | 18.8\% | 25.9\% | 23.9\% | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.5 |  | Indonesia |
| 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 7.7\% | 7.6\% | 6.7\% | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.8 |  | REGION |

Figure 11: Lehman Brothers Asian Bank Universe - Forecasts and Valuation (Continued)


[^3]| Total Assets | Equity to assets | Tier 1 capital | NPL | NPL cover | Loan growth | BVPS | ABVPS | Price to BVPS | Price to ABVPS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MALAYSIA |
| 69,882 | 7.6\% | 10.0\% | 11.8\% | 29.3\% | 16.1\% | 4.49 | 2.17 | 1.8 | 3.8 | CAHB | RMm |
| 76,805 | 7.6\% | 9.2\% | 9.1\% | 38.8\% | 10.2\% | 5.01 | 3.49 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 8.20 | Price RM |
| 82,012 | 7.8\% | 9.4\% | 7.1\% | 48.6\% | 7.0\% | 5.48 | 4.64 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 2,559 | Mkt Cap US\$m |
| 87,684 | 8.1\% | 9.6\% | 5.0\% | 62.8\% | 7.1\% | 6.02 | 5.63 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 3 | Rating |
| 127,072 | 8.2\% | 11.0\% | 5.5\% | 76.4\% | 4.1\% | 4.44 | 4.44 | 1.6 | 1.6 | Maybank |  |
| 140,897 | 7.1\% | 9.2\% | 9.0\% | 55.0\% | 19.0\% | 4.27 | 2.78 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 9.05 |  |
| 147,122 | 8.5\% | 10.7\% | 6.5\% | 69.0\% | 5.3\% | 5.31 | 5.25 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 8,437 |  |
| 155,325 | 8.8\% | 11.1\% | 4.7\% | 81.7\% | 5.7\% | 5.83 | 5.83 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 2 |  |
| 44,101 | 10.0\% | 21.8\% | 6.2\% | 52.8\% | 11.1\% | 1.86 | 1.65 | 1.6 | 1.8 | Pub Bank |  |
| 51,769 | 11.9\% | 23.1\% | 7.1\% | 42.5\% | 24.5\% | 1.69 | 1.41 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 3.22 |  |
| 56,198 | 12.3\% | 23.9\% | 4.7\% | 56.1\% | 8.0\% | 1.88 | 1.75 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 2,637 |  |
| 60,949 | 12.7\% | 24.8\% | 3.2\% | 71.8\% | 8.2\% | 2.11 | 2.07 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PHILIPPINES |
| 393,429 | 12.5\% | 0.0\% | 19.1\% | 47.1\% | 6.9\% | 32 | 32 | 1.8 | 1.8 | BPI | Pm |
| 425,998 | 12.4\% | 0.0\% | 22.0\% | 47.5\% | -4.9\% | 34 | 34 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 66.50 | Price P |
| 461,098 | 12.5\% | 0.0\% | 20.0\% | 54.7\% | 1.5\% | 38 | 38 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1,984 | Mkt Cap US\$m |
| 504,018 | 12.6\% | 0.0\% | 17.8\% | 63.3\% | 3.0\% | 41 | 41 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 2 | Rating |
| 280,302 | 16.0\% | 0.0\% | 26.9\% | 28.3\% | 16.4\% | 62 | 36 | 1.0 | 1.6 | Eq-PCI |  |
| 249,009 | 17.7\% | 0.0\% | 32.1\% | 33.2\% | -15.1\% | 60 | 37 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 31.00 |  |
| 252,706 | 17.4\% | 0.0\% | 28.8\% | 41.0\% | 1.5\% | 61 | 38 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 439 |  |
| 276,228 | 16.2\% | 0.0\% | 24.8\% | 49.7\% | 3.0\% | 62 | 40 | 0.5 | 0.8 | NR |  |
| 442,011 | 10.7\% | 0.0\% | 32.3\% | 22.1\% | 8.1\% | 145 | 115 | 1.3 | 1.6 | Metro |  |
| 470,850 | 10.6\% | 0.0\% | 31.6\% | 24.7\% | -0.8\% | 153 | 126 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 211.00 |  |
| 509,644 | 10.4\% | 0.0\% | 27.7\% | 29.7\% | 1.5\% | 163 | 136 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1,342 |  |
| 557,084 | 10.3\% | 0.0\% | 23.6\% | 35.8\% | 3.0\% | 176 | 150 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 3 |  |
| 192,430 | 10.6\% | 0.0\% | 80.6\% | 26.4\% | -9.5\% | 54 | 53 | 0.7 | 0.7 | PNB |  |
| 197,833 | 8.4\% | 0.0\% | 91.5\% | 27.7\% | -2.2\% | 44 | 42 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 69.50 |  |
| 214,133 | 6.8\% | 0.0\% | 81.2\% | 34.0\% | 1.5\% | 39 | 37 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 511 |  |
| 234,065 | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 69.7\% | 41.2\% | 3.0\% | 35 | 33 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 4 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | INDONESIA |
| 96,188 | 7.3\% | - \% | 7.5\% | 68.4\% | 94.7\% | 2,380.31 | 1,749.40 | 0.4 | 0.5 | BCA | Rpm |
| 105,621 | 8.8\% | - \% | 13.2\% | 67.9\% | 60.0\% | 1,575.91 | 1,141.94 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1,800.00 | Price Rp |
| 107,749 | 10.3\% | - \% | 12.6\% | 75.3\% | 8.2\% | 1,881.10 | 1,535.41 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1,040 | Mkt Cap US\$m |
| 109,920 | 11.5\% | - \% | 12.0\% | 81.7\% | 8.2\% | 2,138.95 | 1,851.17 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1 | Rating |
| 22,627 | 11.2\% | - \% | 67.3\% | 26.5\% | -3.0\% | 64.69 | 29.63 | 0.9 | 1.9 | LPBN |  |
| 25,195 | 11.0\% | - \% | 101.5\% | 19.7\% | 1.3\% | 70.90 | 34.72 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 60.00 |  |
| 27,217 | 11.1\% | - \% | 93.1\% | 21.1\% | 5.4\% | 77.35 | 42.69 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 151 |  |
| 29,916 | 10.8\% | - \% | 71.9\% | 25.2\% | 9.8\% | 82.74 | 49.49 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 2 |  |
| 16,588 | 16.1\% | - \% | 46.9\% | 26.9\% | 14.8\% | 447.79 | 444.83 | 0.4 | 0.4 | PNBN |  |
| 16,525 | 16.8\% | - \% | 37.6\% | 50.5\% | 16.1\% | 466.35 | 463.82 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 275.00 |  |
| 17,939 | 17.7\% | - \% | 32.7\% | 62.0\% | 5.1\% | 532.68 | 530.32 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 160 |  |
| 19,360 | 18.4\% | - \% | 25.5\% | 81.3\% | 7.7\% | 596.80 | 594.61 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 4 |  |
|  | Yield |  |  | NPL |  | Price to | book | Price to | BVPS |  |  |
| 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 |  | exc. HSBC |
| 4.4\% | 5.4\% | 5.5\% | 3.4\% | 3.2\% | 3.2\% | 4.2 | 3.4 | 5.5 | 4.5 |  | Hong Kong |
| 2.8\% | 2.0\% | 2.9\% | 5.7\% | 5.3\% | 4.4\% | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 2.8 |  | Singapore |
| 2.9\% | 3.3\% | 3.7\% | 9.8\% | 7.9\% | 7.1\% | 1.1 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 2.2 |  | South Korea |
| 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 2.3\% | 3.1\% | 3.6\% | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |  | Taiwan |
| 1.6\% | 1.2\% | 1.6\% | 6.8\% | 8.6\% | 6.3\% | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.9 |  | Thailand |
| 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 18.0\% | 17.3\% | 15.2\% | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.8 |  | Malaysia |
| 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 31.4\% | 34.4\% | 30.6\% | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 |  | Philippines |
| 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 4.7\% | 18.8\% | 25.9\% | 23.9\% | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.5 |  | Indonesia |
| 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 7.7\% | 7.6\% | 6.7\% | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.8 |  | REGION |

Figure 12: Key Interest Rates

|  | This Week | Last Week | -1 Month | -3 Months | -6 Months | -12 Months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hong Kong |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Mo HIBOR | 1.9955 | 1.9665 | 1.9911 | 2.1540 | 3.3125 | 4.9219 |
| Prime | 5.1250 | 5.1250 | 5.1250 | 5.2500 | 6.7500 | 8.5000 |
| Savings | 0.1250 | 0.1250 | 0.1250 | 0.2500 | 1.5000 | 3.7500 |
| Singapore |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interbank O/N | 0.5625 | 0.5625 | 0.6250 | 0.4375 | 2.0000 | 1.8750 |
| 3 Mo SIBOR | 0.9375 | 0.9375 | 1.0625 | 1.1250 | 2.3125 | 1.8750 |
| Prime | 4.8300 | 4.8300 | 4.8300 | 4.8800 | 5.3800 | 5.3800 |
| 3 Mo Deposits | 0.8750 | 0.8750 | 0.8750 | 0.8750 | 1.2500 | 1.2500 |
| Korea |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interbank O/N | 4.1000 | 4.1000 | 4.1000 | 4.1000 | 4.7250 | 5.1500 |
| Prime | 9.5500 | 9.5500 | 9.5500 | 9.5500 | 9.7500 | 9.7500 |
| 3 Mo Deposits | 4.4900 | 4.5300 | 4.7000 | 4.6900 | 4.8200 | 5.6700 |
| Thailand |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interbank O/N | 2.0000 | 2.0000 | 2.0000 | 2.5000 | 2.5000 | 2.0000 |
| MLR | 7.0625 | 7.1250 | 7.1250 | 7.3125 | 7.3125 | 7.3125 |
| Malaysia |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Mo KLIBOR | 3.2800 | 3.2800 | 3.2800 | 3.3000 | 3.2800 | 3.2300 |
| Prime | 6.4000 | 6.4000 | 6.4000 | 6.4000 | 6.7900 | 6.7700 |
| 3 Mo Deposits | 3.2000 | 3.2000 | 3.2000 | 3.2000 | 3.4500 | 3.5000 |
| Taiwan |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interbank O/N | 2.2480 | 2.2830 | 2.3310 | 2.4200 | 3.3470 | 4.5480 |
| Prime | 6.7250 | 6.7250 | 6.7250 | 6.7900 | 6.9900 | 7.1000 |
| Philippines |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Mo PHIBOR | 8.0000 | 7.7500 | 8.2500 | 11.3750 | 10.9375 | 12.7500 |
| Prime | 10.3900 | 10.7759 | 11.2266 | 12.9449 | 12.9746 | 9.9107 |
| Savings | 7.0625 | 7.3286 | 7.8125 | 9.1518 | 9.0179 | 9.9107 |
| Indonesia |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Mo JIBOR | 17.5938 | 17.5938 | 17.6094 | 17.9066 | 17.6406 | 15.0469 |
| Prime | 39.0000 | 39.0000 | 39.0000 | 39.0000 | 39.0000 | 39.0000 |
| 3 Mo Deposits | 15.0000 | 15.0000 | 15.0000 | 13.5000 | 13.5000 | 12.5000 |
| 3 MoSBI | 17.0100 | 17.0100 | 17.4280 | 17.6150 | 17.0330 | 14.8410 |
| US |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Mo LIBOR | 1.9013 | 1.9100 | 1.8700 | 2.1563 | 3.5175 | 5.0825 |
| Fed Funds | 1.7500 | 1.6875 | 1.8125 | 1.7500 | 3.5000 | 5.4375 |
| Prime | 4.7500 | 4.7500 | 4.7500 | 5.0000 | 6.5000 | 8.5000 |
| UST 30 Year | 5.3440 | 5.3660 | 5.4670 | 5.3600 | 5.4490 | 5.3670 |

Source: Lehman Brothers, Bloomberg

Figure 13: Major Market Spreads


Source: Lehman Brothers

## Company Focus: Singapore

## DBS Bank: 2H01 Earnings Review: No Lai See

- DBS earnings continue to be lackluster. We maintain our 3-Market Perform rating and S $\$ 12.50$ price target.
- DBS Group reported 2 HO 1 and FY 2001 earnings after the close last night, posting a 2 H net profit of $\mathrm{S} \$ 370$ million and full-year earnings of $\mathrm{S} \$ 999$ million.
- While margins were a bright spot, expanding on falling interest rates and savy asset/liability management, overall results were poor with an ROE of only $7 \%$.
- Asset quality has continued to deteriorate since the $3 Q 01$ earnings release, requiring additional provisions.
- Loan growth was slack in both Singapore and Hong Kong, with customer loans
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down $2 \%$ in the half and up less than $1 \%$ (pro-forma) on the year.

## Summary and Comments:

Singapore's DBS Bank Group reported its 2 HOl results after the close last night, posting a poor showing, as expected, and undershooting our estimates. While some areas, such as resilient margins, were a positive surprise, the overall tone of the results was negative insofar as prospects for future growth remain weak.

We are maintaining our 3-Market Perform rating and S\$12.50 price target on DBS, and will adjust our earnings estimates for the bank and its peers after the end of Singapore's reporting period next week.

## Earnings Review:

DBS reported a consolidated 2 HO l profit of $\mathrm{S} \$ 370$ million, representing only a $0.48 \%$ ROA and $4.8 \%$ return on economic equity. There are several schools of thought on the proper way to present and compare these results, given the significant inclusion of noncash charges stemming from the goodwill incurred in the DHB acquisition, as well as DBS' odd prior reporting: 1 HO 1 financial statements consolidated the balance sheet of DHB but not its income statement, making ratio analysis difficult.

While still relying on reported figures for our analysis, we believe that proforma figures for 1 HO 1 and earlier offer the best basis for understanding the operations of DBS and its subsidiaries, and we will also present these throughout.

As for the goodwill issue, we are in agreement with management that this non-cash item does not represent the operational dimension of the bank, and are thus placing more emphasis on our measure of core earnings going forward, which does not include the
goodwill adjustment. Core earnings also deduct extraordinaries and tax-adjusted securities gains, but not dealing or trading revenues a key line item for DBS.

Figure 14: Key Earnings Components-Reported

| $\mathbf{S \$ , ~ M M ~ a s ~ R e p o r t e d ~}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 H 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 H 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 2002E |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Interest Income | $2,039.4$ | 961.5 | $1,295.0$ | $2,256.5$ | $2,626.3$ |
| Non-Interest Income | 932.0 | 574.4 | 763.6 | $1,338.0$ | $1,522.0$ |
| Non-Interest Expense | $1,246.0$ | 748.9 | 993.0 | $1,741.9$ | $1,924.5$ |
| Provisions | 54.3 | 46.5 | 333.0 | 379.5 | 50.0 |
| Core Earnings | $1,347.8$ | 508.3 | 411.7 | 920.0 | $1,634.2$ |
| Net Income | $1,389.1$ | 629.5 | 370.2 | 999.7 | $1,303.3$ |

Source: Company reports; Lehman Brothers estimates.

On a consecutive half basis, reported earnings in 2 HOl were down by $41 \%$, mainly due to higher provisions and goodwill charges $(-19 \%$ on a core basis). While reported pre-provision earnings and net interest income were seemingly robust, both up $35 \%$ from the prior period, this is due entirely to the consolidation of DHB in 2 HO 1 reporting for the first time.

FY200 1 net income fell by $28 \%$ from last year's $\$ \$ 1.39$ billion profit. Bear in mind that earnings per share were down $39 \%$ YoY, due to the additional dilution caused by November's capital-raising.

Figure 15: Key Earnings Components-Pro-Forma

| S\$, MM Pro-Forma | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 H 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 H 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 E}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Interest Income | $2,763.0$ | $1,204.0$ | $1,295.0$ | $2,499.0$ | $2,626.3$ |
| Non-Interest Income | $1,162.0$ | 731.8 | 763.6 | $1,495.4$ | $1,522.0$ |
| Non-Interest Expense | $1,626.4$ | 971.5 | 993.0 | $1,964.5$ | $1,924.5$ |
| Provisions | 133.1 | 127.2 | 333.0 | 460.2 | 50.0 |
| Core Earnings | $1,745.7$ | 610.0 | 411.7 | $1,021.7$ | $1,634.2$ |
| Net Income | $1,822.2$ | 718.0 | 370.2 | $1,088.2$ | $1,303.3$ |

Source: Company reports; Lehman Brothers estimates.

On a pro-forma basis 2 H earnings declined by $48 \%$ half-on-half and by $62 \%$ year-onyear somewhat more bleak when the DHB figures are included for prior periods. Net interest income fell $9 \%$ YoY ( $+8 \% \mathrm{HoH}$ ) and pre-provision profit was off by $10 \%(+11 \%$ $\mathrm{HoH})$.

Figure 16: Key Earnings Ratios_Reported

| As Reported | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 H 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 H 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 2002E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net Interest Margin | $2.35 \%$ | $2.09 \%$ | $1.57 \%$ | $1.86 \%$ | $1.92 \%$ | $1.92 \%$ |
| ROA | $1.04 \%$ | $1.28 \%$ | $0.94 \%$ | $0.48 \%$ | $0.76 \%$ | $1.12 \%$ |
| Core ROA | $0.95 \%$ | $1.24 \%$ | $0.76 \%$ | $0.54 \%$ | $0.70 \%$ | $1.41 \%$ |
| ROE | $9.54 \%$ | $11.59 \%$ | $9.56 \%$ | $4.75 \%$ | $7.10 \%$ | $8.68 \%$ |
| Core ROE | $8.70 \%$ | $11.25 \%$ | $7.72 \%$ | $5.28 \%$ | $6.53 \%$ | $10.88 \%$ |
| Efficiency | $33.96 \%$ | $41.93 \%$ | $48.76 \%$ | $48.24 \%$ | $48.46 \%$ | $46.39 \%$ |
| Overhead | $1.23 \%$ | $1.28 \%$ | $1.22 \%$ | $1.42 \%$ | $1.48 \%$ | $1.41 \%$ |
| NPLs / Assets | $7.65 \%$ | $3.97 \%$ | $3.09 \%$ | $2.98 \%$ | $2.98 \%$ | $2.82 \%$ |
| NPL Coverage | $49.93 \%$ | $51.82 \%$ | $50.90 \%$ | $54.10 \%$ | $54.10 \%$ | $68.52 \%$ |

Source: Company reports; Lehman Brothers estimates.

## Figure 17: Key Earnings Ratios_Pro-Forma

| Pro-Forma | 1999 | 2000 | 1H01 | 2H01 | 2001 | 2002E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Interest Margin | 2.40\% | 2.21\% | 1.77\% | 1.86\% | 1.92\% | 1.92\% |
| ROA | 1.05\% | 1.28\% | 0.95\% | 0.48\% | 0.76\% | 1.12\% |
| Core ROA | 0.95\% | 1.23\% | 0.81\% | 0.54\% | 0.70\% | 1.41\% |
| ROE | 9.95\% | 12.20\% | 9.76\% | 4.75\% | 7.10\% | 8.68\% |
| Core ROE | 9.05\% | 11.69\% | 8.29\% | 5.28\% | 6.53\% | 10.88\% |
| Efficiency | 35.46\% | 41.44\% | 50.19\% | 48.24\% | 48.46\% | 46.39\% |
| Overhead | 1.26\% | 1.30\% | 1.43\% | 1.42\% | 1.48\% | 1.41\% |
| NPLs / Assets | 6.36\% | 3.42\% | 3.09\% | 2.98\% | 2.98\% | 2.82\% |
| NPL Coverage | 50.04\% | 52.92\% | 50.90\% | 54.10\% | 54.10\% | 68.52\% |

Source: Company reports; Lehman Brothers estimates

## Rates and Margins:

A strong point for DBS was its increased net interest margin during the period: based on our pro-forma model, consolidated NIM rose by 9 bp to $1.86 \%$, although it remains 40bp below the year-earlier figure. On a full-year basis NIM of $1.91 \%$ was 30bp below that of FY2000.

Management attributes the increased spreads to three main factors:

- The falling rate environment coupled with DBS' naturally liability-sensitive balance sheet a rarity among Asian banks.
- An active program to roll-off high-cost deposits at both DBS Bank and Dao Heng, shrinking the low-spread portion of the balance sheet.
- Better asset/liability management and a decision to lock-in some fixed-yield assets.

It is impressive that DBS was able to lower its cost of funds (by 72 bp in the half and 104bp during the year, to $2.47 \%$ ) even while increasing the bank's dependence on higher-cost funding sources such as subordinated debt. We do not expect to see this trend replicated at the other Singaporean banks.

## Provisions and Asset Quality:

Asset quality, as signalled by management in the $3 Q$ results, is a problem area - and one we do expect to see this carried over into peer results. Gross NPLs of S $\$ 4.5$ billion declined by $7 \%$ from mid-year, but only at a cost of $\mathrm{S} \$ 333$ million in second half provisions and an additional $\mathrm{S} \$ 19$ million drop in the reserve. Although the gross amount of criticized loans fell, their severity increased, so that our required reserve estimate remained almost unchanged.

Additionally, management disclosed that as part of the acquisition of DHB, certain loans were re-valued based on the more stringent criteria applied by DBS in Singapore, and the incremental write-down of S\$112 million was taken through an increase in goodwill. This charge could well be considered a provision, which did not run through the P\&L account, but which would raise credit costs in 2 H to $\$ 464$ million, or an annualized rate of 130 bp on customer loans.

## Reserve Adequacy:

DBS has maintained its reserves almost exactly in line with our required reserve model in 1 HOl and $2 \mathrm{HO1}$, and we consider the bank fully-reserved. However, the bank has swung from a $S \$ 356$ million reserve surplus at YE2000 to a $\mathrm{S} \$ 10$ million deficit today a material change.

Figure 18: : Reserve Adequacy

| 2H01 <br> S\$, MM | Gross <br> Amount | Reserve <br> Percentage | Required <br> Reserve |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Pass | 66,808 | $1 \%$ | 668 |
| Special Mention |  | $5 \%$ | - |
| Substandard | 3,085 | $20 \%$ | 617 |
| Doubtful | 521 | $50 \%$ | 261 |
| Loss | 906 | $100 \%$ | 906 |
| ORE |  | $20 \%$ | - |
| Excess AIR | - | $20 \%$ | - |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total | 71,320 |  | 2,452 |
|  |  |  | 2,441 |
| Actual Reserves |  |  | 11 |
| Shortfall |  | $100 \%$ |  |
| Actual/Required |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| Shortfall/Capital |  |  |  |

Source: Company reports; Lehman Brothers estimates.

## Expenses:

Non-interest expense at DBS rose by $33 \% \mathrm{HoH}$ and $52 \%$ YoY on a reported basis $\bullet$ note that this is the only area in which management is disinclined to make an apples-tooranges comparison, instead noting that DBS ex-DHB expenses rose by less than their commitment of $17 \%$. On our pro-forma numbers we see 2 HOl expenses up $17 \%$ YoY
and $2 \% \mathrm{HoH}$, with full year expenses rising by $21 \%$. Given a revenue increase of only $2 \%$ this is not stellar.

Note that management cites (and excludes from its analysis) restructuring charges of $\$ 40.4$ million for the full year.

## Other Special Items:

Included in 2 HO 1 securities gains are profits from the sale of Insurance Corporation of Singapore in the amount of S\$120.1 million and DBS' stake in Keppel Capital Holdings in the amount of $S \$ 60.6$ million.

## Valuation:

DBS is currently trading at $1.3 x$ its BVPS of S $\$ 11.48$, based on a gross book value which includes both goodwill of S $\$ 5.1$ billion and off-balance-sheet re-valuations of S $\$ 893$ million. Given an ROE of $7.1 \%$ for FY200 1 and prospective ROEs which do not exceed $10 \%$ (even after excluding goodwill), we think this is a generous valuation. On an earnings basis, DBS is trading at $19.6 x$ pro-forma 2001 EPS and at $16.3 x$ our FY2002 net EEPS (13.0x cash EEPS). However, based on these results the bias for nearterm adjustment of forward estimates will clearly be down, so that this multiple is likely to rise.

As stated in our previous notes, our $\$ \$ 12.50$ price target for DBS is more dependent on a sum-of-parts analysis, as we believe that this methodology yields the highest value. We maintain our 3-Market Perform rating on the shares of DBS.

## Company description

DBS Bank is the largest bank headquartered in Singapore, with major banking units including DBS Bank Singapore, Hong Kong's Dao Heng Bank, and Thai Danu Bank.

## Other Team Members

| Grant Chan | 852.2869 .3818 | grchan@lehman.com |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bertram Lai | 852.2869 .3024 | blai@lehman.com |
| Christine Lam | 852.2869 .3813 | cylam@lehman.com |
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## Company Focus: South Korea

## Shinhan Financial Group: FY2001 Results: Reporting Morass

- SFG reported consolidated net income inflated by goodwill-on a core basis, income of W352 billion (EPS W1,205) was 12\% below consensus. Based on our review we maintain our 3 -Mkt perform rating but update our price target to $\mathrm{W} 16,000$ on expected FY2002 ROE of $19 \%$.
- Consolidated results were inflated by W127 billion of negative goodwill. Core earnings of W352 billion represent a dismal ROE of just $11 \%$.
- Margins widened despite aggressive expansion of the mortgage book due to better management of funding costs.
- Provisions were as expected, leaving the bank adequately reserved on what is already one of the cleanest books in Korea.
psheehan@lehman.com
- Based largely on valuation, we are maintaining our 3-Market Perform rating while updating our 12 -month forward price target to $\mathrm{W} 16,000$ to reflect expected FY2002 ROE of $19 \%$.


## Summary and Ratings Action:

Shinhan Financial Group reported consolidated FY2001 net income of KRW498 billion or KRW1,706 per share; approx. $24 \%$ above consensus. However, on a core basis, stripping out extraordinary gains from negative goodwill of KRW128 billion $117 \%$ of FY2001 net income), and securities gains, the bank earned KRW352 billion or KRW1,205 per share-approx. 12\% below consensus. Based on these results and our projected FY2002E ROE of $19 \%$ we are updating our price target to KRW16,000 but maintaining our rating of 3-Market Perform on the stock.

Note: investors should be wary of the confusing array of consolidated numbers presented as part of these results. Importantly, note that the consolidated statements of income footnoted "Period from September 1, 2001 (date of inception) to December 31, 2001" are actually consolidated net income for the second half FY2001 (from July 1, 2001).

Figure 19: Key Consolidated Earnings Components

| KRW billion | FY2000A | FY2001A | FY2002E | FY2003E | FY2004E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net interest income | 9,138 | 10,993 | 12,472 | 11,622 | 11,402 |
| Pre-provision profit | 10,274 | 11,269 | 11,998 | 11,944 | 12,029 |
| Provisions | 5,027 | 5,644 | 3,163 | 2,232 | 2,354 |
| Net Income | 3,378 | 4,986 | 6,218 | 6,825 | 6,800 |
| EPS (KRW) | 1,293 | 1,706 | 2,127 | 2,245 | 2,236 |

Source: Company reports; Lehman Brothers estimates

Figure 20: Key Earnings Ratios

| KRW billion | FY2000A | FY2001A | FY2002E | FY2003E | FY2004E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Core ROAA | $0.62 \%$ | $0.66 \%$ | $1.06 \%$ | $1.09 \%$ | $1.03 \%$ |
| Core ROAE | $9.55 \%$ | $11.42 \%$ | $18.68 \%$ | $17.66 \%$ | $15.36 \%$ |
| Overhead | $1.89 \%$ | $1.81 \%$ | $2.64 \%$ | $2.44 \%$ | $2.37 \%$ |
| Efficiency | $44.32 \%$ | $44.23 \%$ | $54.43 \%$ | $54.19 \%$ | $54.46 \%$ |

Source: Company reports; Lehman Brothers estimates
Margins widened as the bank managed funding costs down: Net interest margins widened throughout FY2001 finishing up from FY2000 on an annual basis at 2.23\%. The NIM also reflects a positive contribution from affiliates other than the bank-a reversal from FY2000 when the affiliates dragged down NIM. We are expecting NIM to continue to widen throughout FY2002.

Figure 21: Shinhan Financial Group structure


Source: Company Reports

Figure 22: Net interest margins

|  | FY2000 | 1Q'01 | 2Q'01 | $3 Q^{\prime} 01$ | 4Q'01 | FY2001 | FY2002 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Consolidated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yield | $8.48 \%$ | $7.96 \%$ | $7.71 \%$ | $7.60 \%$ | $7.04 \%$ | $7.50 \%$ | $7.00 \%$ |
| Cost of funds | $6.75 \%$ | $6.31 \%$ | $6.05 \%$ | $5.53 \%$ | $4.93 \%$ | $5.65 \%$ | $5.11 \%$ |
| NIM | $2.12 \%$ | $2.07 \%$ | $2.09 \%$ | $2.31 \%$ | $2.34 \%$ | $2.23 \%$ | $2.30 \%$ |
| Bank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yield | $8.36 \%$ | $7.77 \%$ | $7.51 \%$ | $7.32 \%$ | $6.81 \%$ | $7.37 \%$ | $6.91 \%$ |
| Cost of funds | $6.53 \%$ | $6.00 \%$ | $5.74 \%$ | $5.38 \%$ | $4.95 \%$ | $5.55 \%$ | $5.09 \%$ |
| NIM | $2.20 \%$ | $2.13 \%$ | $2.15 \%$ | $2.30 \%$ | $2.23 \%$ | $2.22 \%$ | $2.29 \%$ |

Source: : Company reports; Lehman Brothers estimates

On a Bank Only basis, the results were unspectacular-hence in-line with our expectations: FY2001 net income of KRW347.1 billion was down $7 \%$ year on year but bang-on our estimate of KRW345 billion. On a core basis, the bank earned KRW301.3 billion, beating our estimates by $5 \%$ due to better than expected margins.

Bank margins dropped from their third-quarter high of $2.30 \%$ to $2.23 \%$ (yield fall of 51 basis points outpaced fall in funding costs of just 43 basis points), but NIM ended the year up from the fourth quarter FY2000 level of $2.08 \%$. On an annual basis, NIM was stable for FY 2001 at $2.22 \%$ versus FY2000 of $2.20 \%$.

We expected a greater fall in NIM as the bank is pricing aggressively, in an efffort to grow their loan book, particularly mortgages - management indicate the bank is pricing 20 basis points below their competitors - and funding costs were expected to remain stable due to comperitive pressures. Shinhan however has aggressively managed its liabilities in one of the best market environments for Korean debt in recent times. While interestearning assets grew by close to $10 \%$ on an annualized basis in the fourth quarter, interest-bearing liabilities remained flat quarter on quarter.

Asset quality improved markedly in the fourth quarter as a result of writedowns: Reported NPLs continued their descent, reaching 5.4\%-a sharp drop from third-quarter NPLs of $8.4 \%$, primarily due to write-offs of Hynix exposure and other bad debts. Shinhan management has been vocal and aggressive in dealing with bad debts, particularly the Hynix issue-refusing to extend fresh funds to what was clearly a lost cause. Write-downs of Hynix exposure alone account for a reduction in NPLs of KRW241 billion and KRW178 billion in reserves.

Figure 23: Reported NPLs

|  | Gross <br> Amount | Reserve <br> Percentage | Required <br> Reserve |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pass | $34,768,876$ | $1 \%$ | 347,689 |
| Special Mention | $1,071,600$ | $5 \%$ | 53,580 |
| Substandard | 667,000 | $20 \%$ | 133,400 |
| Doubtful | 106,600 | $50 \%$ | 53,300 |
| Loss | 94,500 | $100 \%$ | 94,500 |
| ORE | - | $20 \%$ | - |
| Excess AIR | 222,236 | $20 \%$ | 44,447 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total | $36,930,812$ |  | 726,916 |
|  |  |  | 642,744 |
| Actual Reserves |  |  | 84,172 |
| Shortfall |  |  | $88 \%$ |
| Actual/Required |  |  | $3 \%$ |
| Shortfall/Capital |  |  |  |

Source: Company reports; Lehman Brothers estimates

Figure 24: Estimated LB NPLs

|  | Gross <br> Amount | Reserve <br> Percentage | Required <br> Reserve |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pass | $33,030,432$ | $1 \%$ | 330,304 |
| Special Mention | $1,899,184$ | $5 \%$ | 94,959 |
| Substandard | $1,311,060$ | $20 \%$ | 262,212 |
| Doubtful | 336,090 | $50 \%$ | 168,045 |
| Loss | 131,810 | $100 \%$ | 131,810 |
| ORE | - | $20 \%$ | - |
| Excess AIR | 222,236 | $20 \%$ | 44,447 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total | $36,930,812$ |  | $1,031,778$ |
|  |  |  | 642,744 |
| Actual Reserves |  |  | 389,034 |
| Shortfall |  |  | $62 \%$ |
| Actual/Required |  |  | $13 \%$ |
| Shortfall/Capital |  |  |  |

Source: Company reports; Lehman Brothers estimates

Write-offs reduced reserves by a total of KRW239 billion in the fourth quarter despite provisions of KRW131 billion taken during the quarter. Provisions for the year were KRW565 billion, 12\% higher than in FY2000. At this level of provisioning we consider the bank essentially fully reserved-on a reported NPL basis actual-to-required reserves are at $88 \%$ and the subsequent shorffall to capital remains insignificant at $3 \%$ of equity.

As with all Korean banks, we adjust Shinhan's criticized assets to approximately $80 \%$ above reported figures, leaving the bank with additional provisions of KRW389 billion to make before reaching our conservative target. However, based on management's attitude to dealing with Hynix exposure and other non-performing debt issues, we will look to bring our estimates of bad assets down closer to those published by the bank, which will reduce this reserve shorffall.

Loan book expansion through aggressive targeting of the mortgage market: The bank has been aggressively growing its retail credit exposure while reducing corporate exposure. Mortgages tripled over the year to KRW6,940 billion or $28 \%$ of the loan porffolio, adding KRW4,814 billion in assets yielding around 6.10\%. Note that these are not mortgages in the traditional long-term sense but rather home equity loans with terms of approximately three years. The majority of these loans involve re-financing of unsecured personal loans provided by non-bank finance companies.

Figure 25: Loan book breakdown

|  | Amount (KRW billion) |  |  | Percentage of loan book (\%) |  |  | Growth (\% p.a.) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY1999A | FY2000A | FY2001A | FY1999A | FY2000A | FY2001A | FY2000A | FY2001A |
| Consumer | 2,623.8 | 3,574.6 | 3,832.7 | 17\% | 18\% | 16\% | 36\% | 7\% |
| Mortgage | 1,041.7 | 2,126.1 | 6,940.2 | 7\% | 11\% | 28\% | 104\% | 226\% |
| SME | 8,526.4 | 9,974.1 | 10,618.9 | 55\% | 50\% | 43\% | 17\% | 6\% |
| Large Corp. | 2,872.3 | 3,461.8 | 2,937.5 | 19\% | 17\% | 12\% | 21\% | -15\% |
| Public \& other | 312.3 | 744.9 | 93.0 | 2\% | 4\% | 0\% | 139\% | -88\% |
| Total | 15,376.5 | 19,881.5 | 24,422.3 | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 29\% | 23\% |

Source: Company Reports
The bank is projecting FY2002 growth in mortgage loans to exceed $40 \%$ or KRW2,900 billion-an aggressive run-rate and one which is intended to be achieved primarily through pricing discounts.

We are less optimistic than management on loan growth. We project growth in earning assets of $9 \%$ for FY 2002 , slightly less than the $12 \%$ achieved in FY2001, and growth in mortgages of around $12 \%$. While this growth rate is probably on the low end, we feel that Shinhan's growth in this market will converge towards our industry wide expected growth rate of $12 \%$, as competition intensifies.

Note that Shinhan's FY2001 growth rate of consumer loans (including mortgages) of $89 \%$ was off a minuscule base of KRW5,701 billion-around $12 \%$ of Kookmin's FY2000 level of retail credit. While the disparity in growth rates between Shinhan and Kookmin represents an increase in market share for Shinhan, it will become increasingly difficult for Shinhan to outpace industry growth as competitors begin to take notice.

We also prefer not to see competition on the basis of price alone-this can only hurt margins unless funding costs are also brought down - a task which will become more arduous at the end of a rate-culting cycle and as competition intensifies in the Korean market (see our Kookmin Bank note published February 8).

FY2002 outlook and valuation: Even without optimistic growth rates for loans, we are projecting FY2002 net income of KRW620 billion, primarily due to lower provisioning, leading to an expected ROE for FY2002 of $19 \%$.

Figure 26: LB projected earnings

| KRW billion | 1Q'01A | 2Q'01A | 3Q'01A | 4Q'01A | 1Q'02E | 2Q'02E | 3Q'02E | 4Q'02E | FY2001A | FY2002E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net interest income | 2,437 | 2,555 | 2,851 | 2,945 | 3,173 | 3,187 | 3,071 | 2,841 | 10,993 | 12,271 |
| NIM | $2.07 \%$ | $2.09 \%$ | $2.31 \%$ | $2.34 \%$ | $2.40 \%$ | $2.36 \%$ | $2.27 \%$ | $2.06 \%$ | $2.23 \%$ | $2.28 \%$ |
| Pre-provision income | 2,344 | 3,828 | 3,785 | 1,458 | 3,012 | 3,386 | 3,016 | 2,291 | 11,269 | 11,705 |
| $\quad$ Provisions | 1,046 | 1,378 | 2,384 | 1,319 | 1,294 | 426 | 508 | 667 | 5,644 | 2,895 |
| Net income | 863 | 1,564 | 1,397 | 810 | 1,215 | 2,074 | 1,761 | 1,150 | 4,986 | 6,201 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Core ROA | $0.68 \%$ | $1.05 \%$ | $1.12 \%$ | $-0.21 \%$ | $0.85 \%$ | $1.42 \%$ | $1.20 \%$ | $0.77 \%$ | $0.66 \%$ | $1.06 \%$ |
| Core ROE | $11.05 \%$ | $16.21 \%$ | $18.55 \%$ | $-3.77 \%$ | $15.51 \%$ | $25.19 \%$ | $20.24 \%$ | $12.87 \%$ | $11.42 \%$ | $18.63 \%$ |

Source: Company reports; Lehman Brothers estimates
The bank currently trades at around 1.7x trailing (FY2001) book value (1.5x prospective FY2002E book value)-rich valuations given our expected forward ROE of 19\%. On a $19 \%$ ROE and cost of capital of $15 \%$, the bank deserves to trade at around $1.3 \times$ book, on our estimates. Based on expected forward BVPS of KRW12,250, we are adjusting upwards our 12 -month forward price target to KRW16,000 - around $10 \%$ less than where the bank currently trades, at KRW17,850. We are maintaining our 3-Market Perform rating on the stock.

## Company description

Shinhan Bank was established in 1982 as a private sector bank focusing on the SME segment. It has over 300 branches nation wide and eight overseas offices. The bank reorganized its structure in 2001, launching Shinhan Financial Group under which was placed the bank, Shinhan Securities, Shinhan Capital, Cheju Bank, as well as joint ventures with various foreign banks. BNP Paribas holds a strategic stake of $4 \%$ in the group.

## Related stocks

| Company Name | Reuters Ticker | Price (19/02/02) Rating |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kookmin Bank | 60000. KS | (W 58,000) | 1 - Strong Buy |

Other Team Members

| Grant Chan | 852.2869 .3818 | grchan@lehman.com |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Christine Lam | 852.2869 .3813 | cylam@lehman.com |
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## Company Focus: Thailand

## Thai Farmers Bank: 4Q01 Earnings Review: Positive Thinking

- The recent run-up is not justified by fundamentals. We maintain our THB22 price target and 3-Market Perform Rating.
- TFB released detailed 4Q01 and FY2001 financial statements, showing a net profit of THB715 million in $4 Q$ and THB 1.01 billion for the year.
- We believe TFB has the strongest management of the Thai banks, and is taking appropriate steps to rehabilitate the institution; however, TFB remains significantly distressed with $29 \%$ of its loan book non-performing.
- Current valuation of 2.5 x stated book is inappropriate given reserve underfunding of $1.5 x$ equity and trailing ROE of just $5 \%$.

Paul Sheehan
85228693001
psheehan@lehman.com

- We have upgraded our earnings estimates for FY2002-2004.


## Summary:

Thai Farmers Bank has released its detailed financial statements for FY2001 and for $4 \mathrm{QO1}$. While the bank has made significant progress, most notably reducing its NPLs through sales to the TAMC and internal workouts, TFB remains financially troubled, with over $29 \%$ of its gross loans classified as other than performing.

Even ignoring the reserve shorffall this has engendered, which by our calculation exceeds shareholders' equity by some $50 \%$, TFB is expensive on a price to stated book value of 2.51 x. With trailing and projected ROEs of $5.03 \%$ and $16.54 \%$, this is too rich for our tastes, especially as we have yet to see any positive growth and do not project ROAA topping $0.5 \%$ through FY2004.

The lingering effects of legacy bad lending aside, TFB does have, in our opinion, the strongest management team among the Thai banks, and we believe that management is doing all the right things - but is hindered by the bank's limited financial flexibility. Notable since our last update is the bank's partnership with BNP unit Cetelem in consumer finance, as well as continuing efforts to improve credit scoring and centralize back office operations.

In summary, we feel recent share price gains have left the stock overextended, and maintain our price target of THB22.00 and 3-Market Perform rating.

## Earnings Review:

TFB earned THB716 million in 4Q01 on sharply reduced provisions, the healthiest net income performance we have seen since 2Q00, bringing total income for the year to THB 1.01 billion. Although FY earnings were off by $20 \%$ from FY2000, this is largely an effect of larger provisions, with pre-provision earnings up by $155 \%$.

ROE remained below our estimated $11.5 \%$ cost of capital, at only $5.03 \%$ for the year. Even this return owes much to the bank's high leverage, as seen by the scant ROA of $0.13 \%$ over the same period.

Pre-provision profits are naturally much more robust, but have shown a decreasing trend over the past four quarters even as costs have been reduced. We attribute the majority of this gain to realized and MTM losses on the bank's securities portfolio, which have swung from a THB 1.16 billion gain in $1 Q$ to a THB706 million loss in $4 Q$. With much of this item subject to management's discretion in booking assets as held to maturity or available for sale, and in timing actual realized gains and losses, the unfavorable variance in truth gives us some comfort that at least management is confident enough about the near-term stability of the bank to avoid some of the obvious window-dressing of the peak crisis years.

Figure 27: Key Earnings Components: 4Q00-4Q01

| THB, MM | 4Q00 | 1Q01 | 2Q01 | 3Q01 | 4Q01 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Net Interest Income | 4,373 | 4,265 | 4,450 | 4,623 | 4,238 |
| Pre-Provision Profit | 30 | 2,423 | 1,559 | 1,863 | 1,428 |
| Provisions | 478 | 2,295 | 1,354 | 1,519 | 363 |
| Net Income | 24 | 59 | 111 | 124 | 716 |
| EPS (Bt) | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.30 |

Source: Company reports; Lehman Brothers estimates

Figure 28: Key Earnings Ratios: 4Q00-4Q01

|  | 4Q00 | 1Q01 | 2Q01 | 3Q01 | 4Q01 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NIM | $2.47 \%$ | $2.37 \%$ | $2.44 \%$ | $2.53 \%$ | $2.35 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Asset Yield | $5.84 \%$ | $5.52 \%$ | $5.33 \%$ | $5.45 \%$ | $5.19 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Cost of Funds | $3.35 \%$ | $3.16 \%$ | $2.91 \%$ | $2.86 \%$ | $2.80 \%$ |
| Core ROAA | $-0.15 \%$ | $-0.12 \%$ | $-0.01 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.61 \%$ |
| Core ROAE | $-6.21 \%$ | $-4.67 \%$ | $-0.61 \%$ | $4.19 \%$ | $24.04 \%$ |
| Overhead | $3.23 \%$ | $2.93 \%$ | $2.80 \%$ | $2.39 \%$ | $2.24 \%$ |
| Efficiency | $99.47 \%$ | $68.48 \%$ | $76.61 \%$ | $70.03 \%$ | $73.87 \%$ |

Source: Company reports; Lehman Brothers estimates.

Based on the execution of the TAMC transfer and its positive effect on required reserves, as well as the recent rate cuts and TFB's control of expenses, we have upgraded our earnings estimates, as shown above, for FY2002-2004.

## Rates and Margins:

Net interest margin has slipped slightly throughout the year, mainly as declining rates have produced a contraction in the bank's spread due to its asset-sensitive balance sheet. We believe that this trend will reverse given that TFB is widely expected to cut its deposit rates within the next week or so, following SCB and BBL. In addition, reduced foregone interest income should improve asset yields.

A note of caution on margins is that payment for loans transferred to the TAMC (see below) will be made via ten-year FIDF notes with a coupon equal to the average savings deposit rate - zero spread assets even before considering the FIDF fund contributions assessed on total assets.

Figure 29: Interest Margin Analysis: 4Q00-4Q01

|  | 4Q00 | 1Q01 | 2Q01 | 3Q01 | 4Q01 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Change in Asset Yield | $0.05 \%$ | $-0.32 \%$ | $-0.19 \%$ | $0.12 \%$ | $-0.26 \%$ |
| Change in Cost of Funds | $-0.27 \%$ | $-0.20 \%$ | $-0.25 \%$ | $-0.04 \%$ | $-0.07 \%$ |
| Change in NIM | $0.30 \%$ | $-0.10 \%$ | $0.07 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $-0.18 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loan Growth (QoQ) | $1.5 \%$ | $-1.4 \%$ | $-4.5 \%$ | $-1.1 \%$ | $-1.4 \%$ |
| Deposit Growth (QoQ) | $1.4 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |

[^4]Figure 30: Thai Bank Loan and Deposit Rates: 1/01-Present

| 1/4/01 | Time Deposits |  |  |  |  |  | 2/15/02 | Savings | Time Deposits |  |  | MLR | Spread |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | avings | 3 | 12 | 24 | MLR | Spread |  |  | 3 | 12 | 24 |  |  |
| Domestic Banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  | Domestic Banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TFB | 2.50\% | 3.00\% | 3.50\% | 4.00\% | 7.75\% | 5.25\% | TFB | 1.75\% | 2.25\% | 2.75\% | 3.25\% | 7.00\% | 5.25\% |
| BBL | 2.50\% | 3.00\% | 3.50\% | 4.00\% | 7.75\% | 5.25\% | BBL | 1.75\% | 2.25\% | 2.75\% | 3.25\% | 7.00\% | 5.25\% |
| SCB | 2.50\% | 3.00\% | 3.50\% | 4.00\% | 8.00\% | 5.50\% | SCB | 1.75\% | 2.00\% | 2.50\% | 3.00\% | 7.00\% | 5.25\% |
| BAY | 2.50\% | 3.00\% | 3.50\% | 4.25\% | 8.25\% | 5.75\% | BAY | 1.75\% | 2.25\% | 2.75\% | 3.25\% | 7.50\% | 5.75\% |
| TMB | 2.50\% | 3.00\% | 3.50\% | 3.75\% | 8.50\% | 6.00\% | TMB | 1.75\% | 2.25\% | 2.75\% | 3.25\% | 7.50\% | 5.75\% |
| State Banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  | State Banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KTB | 2.50\% | 3.00\% | 3.50\% | 4.00\% | 7.50\% | 5.00\% | KTB | 1.75\% | 2.25\% | 3.00\% | 3.50\% | 7.25\% | 5.50\% |
| BMB | 2.50\% | 3.25\% | 4.00\% | 4.25\% | 9.00\% | 6.50\% | BMB | 1.75\% | 2.25\% | 2.75\% | 3.25\% | 7.50\% | 5.75\% |
| SCIB | 2.50\% | 3.50\% | 4.00\% | 4.50\% | 8.50\% | 6.00\% | SCIB | 1.75\% | 2.35\% | 2.75\% | 3.50\% | 7.25\% | 5.50\% |
| BT | 2.50\% | 3.25\% | 3.50\% | 4.25\% | 8.00\% | 5.50\% | BT | 2.00\% | 2.25\% | 2.75\% | 3.25\% | 7.25\% | 5.25\% |
| Foreign-Owned Banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  | Foreign-Owned Banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCNB | 2.25\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 3.25\% | 8.00\% | 5.75\% | SCNB | 1.50\% | 2.00\% | 2.25\% | 2.75\% | 7.25\% | 5.75\% |
| DTDB | 2.25\% | 2.50\% | 3.50\% | 4.00\% | 8.50\% | 6.25\% | DTDB | 1.75\% | 2.25\% | 2.50\% | 2.75\% | 8.00\% | 6.25\% |
| BOA | 2.25\% | 2.75\% | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 8.00\% | 5.75\% | BOA | 1.50\% | 2.00\% | 2.25\% | 2.25\% | 7.25\% | 5.75\% |
| Foreign Banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  | Foreign Banks: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Citibank | 1.25\% | 1.50\% | 2.50\% | 3.25\% | 9.00\% | 7.75\% | Citibank | 1.25\% | 1.75\% | 2.25\% | 2.50\% | 8.25\% | 7.00\% |
| HSBC | 0.75\% | 2.00\% | 2.00\% | 2.50\% | 8.75\% | 8.00\% | HSBC | 0.75\% | 1.00\% | 1.25\% | 1.25\% | 8.75\% | 8.00\% |
| StanChart | 0.75\% | 1.75\% | 2.25\% | 2.25\% | 8.75\% | 8.00\% | StanChart | 0.75\% | 1.25\% | 1.75\% | 1.75\% | 8.25\% | 7.50\% |
| ABN-Amro | 0.50\% | 1.50\% | 2.25\% | 2.25\% | 8.75\% | 8.25\% | ABN-Amro | 0.25\% | 1.25\% | 1.50\% | 1.50\% | 8.00\% | 7.75\% |
| OCBC | 1.00\% | 2.00\% | 2.00\% | 2.00\% | 9.00\% | 8.00\% | OCBC | 1.00\% | 1.50\% | 1.50\% | 1.50\% | 8.50\% | 7.50\% |

Source: Company reports; Lehman Brothers estimates.

## Loan Growth:

Naturally, the best stimulus for NIM would be advancing loan growth; unfortunately here we have little positive news to report. Even an average 75bp fall in MLR over the past year has not spurred additional lending on a gross basis, with loans down over each of the last four consecutive quarters. Note that performing loan growth looks somewhat more robust; however, this measure is skewed by the restructuring of a large percentage of the bank's remaining loan porffolio over the past two years.

We continue to forecast a $1 \%$ loan contraction for FY2002, with a recovery to growth by YE2003 and steady $3 \%$ annual net increases by YE2004. Management estimates continue to be more optimistic, with plans calling for $2-3 \%$ growth this year, including a THB5 billion target for new mortgage origination, which in itself represents more than $1 \%$ growth for the total porffolio.

Figure 31: Loan Growth and Performing Loan Growth: 4Q00-4Q01

|  | 4Q00 | 1Q01 | 2Q01 | 3Q01 | 4Q01 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Loan Growth (QoQ) | $1.5 \%$ | $-1.4 \%$ | $-4.5 \%$ | $-1.1 \%$ | $-1.4 \%$ |
| Performing Loan Growth (QoQ) | $5.1 \%$ | $-0.9 \%$ | $-2.4 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

Source: Company reports; Lehman Brothers estimates.

## Asset Quality:

Asset quality remains poor by any measure other than that of comparison with prior periods. Upwards of $29 \%$ of the bank's loan portfolio remains non-performing or in foreclosure, with an increasing number of these loans no longer receptive to restructuring (management estimates that 50\% of remaining NPLs are now tied up in litigation).
$73 \%$ of remaining criticized assets are either Doubiful or Loss, and many have not paid interest or principal in over four years. These assets have either resisted restructuring or have defaulted on even rescheduled terms, so that we are forced to conclude that there is little hope that they will return to performing status. Given that borrowers have had years to hide assets, our conclusion is that little value will ever be realized from these assets - and their servicing and legal costs meanwhile are large.

Barring another tranche of TAMC transfers, we believe that net movements out of criticized status will be slow over the next 18 months. Management has agreed, saying that it will take five to six years to reach an acceptable level of asset quality.

## TAMC Transfers:

During 4Q01, TFB was able to transfer its eligible bad debts to the state-run Thai Asset Management Corporation ("TAMC"). While we had initially had high expectations for the TAMC, it has been repeatedly scaled back since its inception, and now addresses only a small part of the asset quality problem at the private banks. Management reports that the bank transferred a gross THB 12.2 billion in distressed loans /we assume that these were $95 \%$ loss) along with some THB2.9 billion in associated specific reserves, for a net reduction of THB9.3 billion. On a gross basis, this addressed only $8 \%$ of the bank's impaired assets.

Figure 32: Criticized Asset Migration and TAMC Impact: 2Q01-4Q01

|  | Pass | S/M | SS | D | L | Reserve |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2Q01 Level | 341,264 | 11,005 | 16,804 | 12,488 | 110,929 | 62,847 |
| Estimated Impact of TAMC | - | - | - | $(610)$ | $(11,595)$ | $(2,867)$ |
| Other Changes 2Q-4Q01 | 14,809 | 164 | $(4,876)$ | 5,803 | $(15,627)$ | 1,290 |
| 4Q01 Level | 356,073 | 11,169 | 11,928 | 17,682 | 83,707 | 61,270 |
| \% Change | $+4.3 \%$ | $+1.5 \%$ | $-29.0 \%$ | $+41.6 \%$ | $-24.5 \%$ | $-2.5 \%$ |
| \% of Total Loans | $74.1 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $17.4 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ |

Source: Company reports; Lehman Brothers estimates.

Figure 33: Reserve Impact of TAMC: 2Q01-4Q01

|  | Actual <br> Reserves | Required <br> Reserves | Reserve <br> Shortfall |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2Q01 Level | 62,847 | 127,220 | 64,373 |
| $\quad$ Estimated Impact of TAMC | $(2,867)$ | $(11,900)$ | $(9,032)$ |
| Other Changes 2Q-4Q01 | 1,290 | $(13,298)$ | $(14,588)$ |
| 4Q01 Level | 61,270 | 102,023 | 40,753 |
| \% of Total Loans | $12.7 \%$ | $21.2 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |

Source: Company reports; Lehman Brothers estimates

Bear in mind that TFB remains liable for $30 \%$ of the first $40 \%$ of additional losses that the TAMC sustains in servicing and working out or liquidating these loans over a five or ten year period. Based on the experience of other government workout programs in the region, we believe that the bank will wind up sustaining the maximum cost, or some THB2.8 billion in charge-backs, which may be paid either in cash or in new shares.

## Reserve Adequacy:

Thai Farmers' reserves are heading in the right direction, but have some way to go. Based on our methodology, TFB had required reserves of THB102 billion at year-end, against actual reserves of only THB61 billion. Reserve sufficiency has admittedly risen, moving from $44 \%$ of required at YE2000 to $60 \%$ currently, but the remaining shorffall is still $1.5 \times$ book equity.

Note also that this calculation does not include additional reserves for restructured loans currently classified as performing, although such loans have shown a distressing predisposition to return to their former NPL status, even after concessionary rate cuts and payment rescheduling. It also makes no provision for some THB3 billion in "nonmarketable equity securities" carried in the 'held to maturity' securities porffolio, which were presumably received as part of debt restructuring agreements (impairment reserves booked against these and all other securities are included in our consolidated reserve figures). We believe that these securities are likely to have minimal value in aggregate.

Figure 34: Reserve Adequacy: 4Q01

|  | Gross <br> Amount | Reserve <br> Percentage | Required <br> Reserve |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pass | 356,073 | $1 \%$ | 3,561 |
| Special Mention | 11,169 | $5 \%$ | 558 |
| Substandard | 11,928 | $20 \%$ | 2,386 |
| Doubtful | 17,682 | $50 \%$ | 8,841 |
| Loss | 83,707 | $100 \%$ | 83,707 |
| ORE | 14,852 | $20 \%$ | 2,970 |
| Excess AIR | - | $20 \%$ | - |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total | 495,412 |  | 102,023 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Actual Reserves |  |  | 61,270 |
| Shortfall |  |  | 40,753 |
| Actual/Required |  |  | $60 \%$ |
| Shortfall/Capital |  |  | $149 \%$ |

Source: Company reports; Lehman Brothers estimates.

Based on our assessment, we project that TFB will require additional provisions of THB4 billion in each of the next three years, resulting in $83 \%$ reserve coverage of NPLs and $100 \%$ of our required reserves by YE2004.

## Valuation:

TFB shares currently trade at $2.51 x$ their stated book value per share of THB 11.64 . On an adjusted book basis (deducting real estate revaluation, capitalized tax-loss carryforwards, and other items not properly considered primary capital), TFB shares trade at 3.30x ABVPS. We believe that true ABV (i.e., after subtracting reserve underfunding) is negative, so any measure of this is not meaningful. However, even accepting reported figures the bank is very expensive considering its projected peak ROE of $16.5 \%$.

On an earnings basis, TFB trades at 68.4 x trailing and $18.1 \times$ forward earnings, also a premium price within the regional sector. Although TFB may be the bestrun bank in Thailand, we still prefer Siam Commercial on a local basis, and both Korean and Indonesian banks on a sector basis.

Figure 35: Valuation Summary

|  | FY2001A | FY2002E | FY2003E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Current Price (THB): | 29.25 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| EEPS | 0.43 | 1.62 | 1.71 |
| P/E | 68.39 | 18.06 | 17.08 |
| BVPS |  |  |  |
| P/BV | 11.64 | 13.26 | 14.97 |
|  | 2.51 | 2.21 | 1.95 |
| ROE |  |  |  |
| ROE / (P/BV) | $5.03 \%$ | $16.54 \%$ | $14.68 \%$ |

Source: Company reports; Lehman Brothers estimates.

## Company Description

Established in 1945, Thai Farmers Bank is the third largest bank in Thailand. It has a reputation for conservative management and technical innovation.

## Other Team Members

| Grant Chan | 852.2869 .3818 | grchan@lehman.com |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Bertram Lai | 852.2869 .3024 | blai@lehman.com |
| Christine Lam | 852.2869 .3813 | cylam@lehman.com |

February 19, 2002

## Company Focus: Hong Kong

## HSBC: Key Take-Aways From StanChart Result

- HSBC is not likely to replicate StanChart's results in Hong Kong.
- StanChart's HK operations generated a $21 \%$ increase in pre-provision earnings on the back of higher margins and slight loan growth.
- However, all the gains were given back as loan-loss provisions doubled due to higher bankruptcies.
- The story will be different for Hongkong Bank at both the pre-provision and postprovision level. We maintain our 3-Market Perform rating on the shares of HSBC.


## Standard Chartered results.

## Grant Chan

85228693818
grchan@lehman.com
StanChart reported a 2001 net profit (after preferred dividends) of US $\$ 631$ million, or US\$0.56, down $37 \%$ from the year before. On a consecutive half basis, second-half net profit was $39 \%$ lower than that in the first half. At the pre-tax level, profit was down $20 \%$ on the year and $40 \%$ on the half.

As expected, the results were depicted by a sharp rise in loan loss provisions-up $72 \%$ on the half and up $56 \%$ on the year. The key driver for the higher loan loss provisions were corporate exposure in Malaysia, consumer exposure in Hong Kong and Argentina exposure, which accounted for $18 \%, 35 \%$ and $17 \%$ of overall provisions. Meanwhile, overall core banking operations were remarkably resilient-margins were maintained at $3.1 \%$, loans increased by $2 \%$, and overall revenue growth increased $9 \%$, outpacing the $8 \%$ increase in on-going expenses.

Management announced that its Hong Kong listing will not proceed until at least the second half of the year.

Good Hong Kong operations, not a bellwether for Hongkong Bank. StanChart's Hong Kong operations generated at pre-tax profit of US $\$ 522$ million (compared to US $\$ 517$ million in 2000), representing $45 \%$ of the group's total. The most notable item is the loan loss provision, which was twice as high as that reported in 2000. Meanwhile, overall core banking operations were resilient again, with loans increasing by $2.6 \%$, margins expanding by over 30 basis points to $3.2 \%$ and revenue growth increasing at the same pace as expenses - costto-income remained steady at $46 \%$. Preprovision profit increased by $21 \%$. Meanwhile, Hong Kong accounts for almost $40 \%$ of HSBC's earnings.

## Key take-aways for HSBC's Hong Kong operations are the following:

## Margin expansion is not likely to be replicated by HSBC in Hong Kong.

1. StanChart was able to expand its net interest margin because of its greater emphasis on credit cards $116 \%$ of its loan book compared to less than $2 \%$ for Hongkong Bank), which exhibit wider margins in a declining interest rate environment.
2. StanChart has a more leveraged balance sheet, with a loan-to-deposit ratio of $74 \%$ (versus only $48 \%$ for HKB) and less interbank placements.

The above two factors more than offset the downward pressure on margins stemming from the contraction in mortgage yields, which are currently at Prime less 230 basis points and generated an average yield of Prime less 162 basis points during the period. Mortgages account for $59 \%$ of StanChart's loan book, which compares to approximately 32\% for Hongkong Bank.

Sharply higher loan loss provisions, particularly for credit cards should not be a problem for HSBC. StanChart's loan loss provision in Hong Kong is equal to 120 basis points of total loans. While this is significantly higher than our projection of 30 basis points for Hongkong Bank, we must point out that over half of the loan loss provision is attributed to the credit card operations, which exhibited a charge-off ratio of $7.5 \%$, slightly higher than the industry average of $6 \%$. HKB's charge-off ratio is expected to be significantly lower due to its more affluent clientele. Stripping out the charge for credit cards, StanChart's loan loss provisions were just over 50 basis points of remaining loans.

Core banking operations are expected to be pedestrian at Hongkong Bank. Similar to StanChart, we expect weak loan growth for Hongkong Bank $-3 \%$ for the year, with all of it coming in the first half. Meanwhile, overall revenue growth for Hongkong Bank is expected to be approximately $5 \%$, matched by the increase in operating expenses. Consequently, overall pre-provision profit is expected to increase $4 \%$.

HSBC valuation is not compelling. At the current price, HSBC is trading just slightly above our fair value estimate of $H K \$ 84$ per share. However, we must point out that the risk is on the downside for earnings, particularly given the low level of provisioning that we have projected. We maintain our 3-Market Perform rating and share price target of HK\$84.

Figure 36: HSBC Valuation

|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Period end price (HK\$) | 109.00 | 115.50 | 87.00 | n.a. | n.a. |
| P/E- reported $(x)$ | 21.5 | 19.8 | 17.6 | 16.4 | 14.8 |
| P/E- cash $(x)$ | 21.4 | 18.2 | 15.5 | 14.6 | 13.3 |
| EPS- reported (US\$) | 0.65 | 0.75 | 0.64 | 0.68 | 0.75 |
| EPS- cash (US\$) | 0.65 | 0.81 | 0.72 | 0.77 | 0.84 |
| P/BV- reported (x) |  |  |  |  |  |
| P/BV- tangible (x) | 3.5 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.5 |
| Reported BV (US\$) | 4.4 | 4.5 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| Tangible BV (US\$) | 3.95 | 3.97 | 4.10 | 4.25 | 4.43 |
| Reported ROE | 3.18 | 3.29 | 3.44 | 3.68 | 3.94 |
| Cash ROE | $16.5 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ |
|  | $20.5 \%$ | $24.7 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ | $20.8 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ |

Source: Lehman Brothers estimates

## Company Description

## Other Team Members

| Paul Sheehan | 852.2869 .3001 | psheehan@lehman.com |
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## Operating Results

| $\quad 3$ - MARKET PERFORM |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Tickers: | STAN.L |
|  | STAN LN |
| Price: | STG 741p |
| Price Target: | 7.80 |
| FTSE All Share | 2430.07 |
| FTSE 100 | 5024.15 |

Robert Law (44) 2072602715 rolaw@lehman.com

Colin Hector
(44) 2072564362 chector@lehman.com

## Mark Roberts

 (Marketing Analyst) (44) 2072601539 mroberts@lehman.comFebruary 21, 2002

## Standard Chartered

## Needs the Asian Tide to Turn

Standard Chartered is a tough call. The two principal factors affecting it are its gearing to an Asian turnaround and speculative interest. The group will find it difficult to grow value against deflationary conditions in the Far East. The judgement for the shares is when this comes to an end. The shares stand on a 2002 cash multiple of $15 x$, which would fall to $12.5 x$ on a normalised basis. At 725 p we would be longer-term buyers.

■ Profits were $\$ 100 \mathrm{~m}$ below expectations at $\$ 1,148 \mathrm{~m}$, cash EPS of $\$ 0.677$, compared with $\$ 0.8$, owing to substantial capital raisings undertaken during the year. On an organic basis, revenue grew $5 \%$, with costs $3 \%$.

- We have cut our currentyear estimate by $\$ 46 \mathrm{~m}$ to $\$ 1,325 \mathrm{~m}$. This represents $\$ 0.728$ in cash EPS. Management has indicated that cost growth will again be limited to below the rate of revenue growth. However, there are remaining uncertainties in the provisioning outlook; in particular, Hong Kong consumer banking charges are expected to remain at their current level in HI .

■ Our normalised bad debt assumption implies normalised cash EPS of $\$ 0.835$, $24 \%$ above the current level.

- The CEO outlined cost and porffolio reallocation measures to improve returns. However, we argue in this note, that the likely impact is limited and a stronger economic background is needed for the group to produce attractive returns.


Standard Chartered is a tough call. The two principal factors affecting it are its gearing to an Asian turnaround and speculative interest. However hard it tries, the past few years have shown that the group will find it difficult to grow value against deflationary conditions in the Far East. The fundamental judgment for the shares is when this comes to an end. Until then, banks in western markets are likely to generate stronger profits growth and command lower valuations. There is currently little sign of the deflationary pressures easing. In the event of a corporate deal, there is substantial upside potential; we would venture a bidder could show value at $£ 10-12$ a share or even more. However, a hostile deal looks unlikely and management appears in no mood, or to be under little pressure, to sell. Nevertheless, after their underperformance, the shares stand on a 2002 cash multiple of $15 x$, which would fall to $12.5 x$ on a normalised basis. At 725 p we would be longer-term buyers.

## Improving Returns

As we outline below, the CEO has given a target to improve the group RoE to near 20\% from the reported figure of $12 \%$ last year. As an aside, we calculate that the effective cash RoE on ordinary equity is around $20 \%$. However, this is because of the write-offs the bank has taken in making acquisitions. As we have shown, the company's EPS and BVPS remain below the levels achieved in 1997, a reflection of the weakness of Asian markets. It is not so much the profitability of the actual businesses that has yet to produce returns, but the cost of assembling them, that has yet to show value.

Whatever the realities of current profitability, it is clear that shareholder returns need to be improved. The CEO has indicated that he sees scope to improve returns internally, even without a strengthening economic background. This comes from both cost control and reallocation of capital.

On costs, the company has an ongoing restructuring programme, where, as we note below, the targets have been increased. However, it is clear that the cost savings will be re-invested in developing areas, such as cards, wealth and markets. The company does not regard itself as a cost story. It does plan to limit cost growth to within revenue, at least in the short term. However, there does not appear to be a major cost story to earnings.

On porffolio management, the CEO sees scope to improve returns from capital reallocation in Wholesale Banking and from improved profitability in Thailand, Taiwan and some of its other smaller markets. Wholesale Banking capital is not planned to grow, with EP growth expected from improved returns. By contrast, EP growth in Consumer Banking is expected to stem from increased resources.

Consumer Banking 36\% RoE

Wholesale Banking 12\% RoE

No targets given

Smaller markets represent 10\% of capital

Group needs better markets to improve returns in our view

Revenue to grow faster than costs . . .
. . . we accept this, but not sure how

The Consumer Banking businesses deploy only an estimated $25 \%$ of group risk assets, but generate $40 \%$ of normalised profit and a $36 \%$ RoE. Cards and Wealth are seen as two principal growth products and the CEO also sees long-term opportunities in China. This implies that the capital growth of the group will be concentrated in what is currently a small proportion of the group.

By contrast, the Wholesale Banking operations deploy the balance of risk assets /we estimate some $£ 50 \mathrm{bn}$ ) and currently are only contributing half of profits and sustaining a $12 \%$ RoE. Even on a normalised basis, this might rise to $18 \%$, still some way below the Consumer side. Moreover, it is where the largest provisioning hits are being taken. Management commented that it would take a much more aggressive attitude to capital commitment and to managing risk.

The management has not indicated a figure for the proportion of Wholesale Banking risk assets, which it believes it can redeploy. However, we would caution, that the returns are not obviously low by cost of equity standards and it may be no easy task to identify and run down, unprofitable assets.

We would see even less scope to make significant profitability gains in group terms, from the smaller presences. The total risk assets in the Far East, outside the three key markets, represent only $10 \%$ of total group risk assets.

In summary, although the objectives to improve returns are positive, we would argue that the group needs a more positive economic background in the markets where it operates, to generate attractive returns.

## Points From the Meeting

At the meeting the CEO stressed the strategy to improve financial performance. He seeks to grow the retail operations and improve returns in the Wholesale businesses, in particular, by capital management.

Management also indicated that revenue was again expected to grow ahead of costs this year, with new investment spending being funded from continuing efficiency savings. Nevertheless, there is real uncertainty over how revenue growth can be generated in the current difficult operating conditions. The pre-provisions performance was a relatively positive feature of these figures. The 'major' proportion of the $\$ 200 \mathrm{~m}$ ALM contribution to revenue growth in 2001, was said to be sustainable. The repricing impact from Hong Kong mortgages looks to be past the worst; mortgage revenue is expected to grow in 2002.

No longer looking at Egypt, Taiwan. Have bid in Indonesia; interested in

Remains committed to independence

Uncertainty over short term estimates

Assume pre-provisions grows .
. . . and bad debts fall

2001 profits $\$ 0.677$ cash EPS

The CEO disclosed that he has withdrawn from discussions to acquire a bank in Egypt and is currently no longer interested in acquiring in Taiwan. However, the group has submitted a bid for BCA in Indonesia and remains interested in the credit card market in Korea.

The group remains committed to a Hong Kong listing, but indicated that this would not take place during HI .

The chairman commented that the group was seeking a successor for his position and hoped to say more by the H 1 stage.

The group itself seems committed to independence. The chairman indicated he had had no approaches. Although the board was not determined on independence at any price, it would seek to remain so, while it generated strong returns. The focus on opportunities to improve returns by repositioning its Wholesale and growing its Consumer business, may also reduce some of the market speculation on independence.

## Future Estimates

Given current weak markets, there are real uncertainties in projections for bad debts, revenue and costs. For what it is worth, we have cut our current-year estimate by $\$ 46 \mathrm{~m}$ to $\$ 1,325 \mathrm{~m}$. This represents $\$ 0.728$ in cash EPS.

We have taken the company's guidance on profits before provisions, assuming that cost growth will be managed below that of revenue, although there are doubts over revenue growth. We include revenue growth of $5 \%$ and costs of $3 \%$.

Our provisions assumption of $\$ 700 \mathrm{~m}$ represents $1.25 \%$ of loans. We would regard this as an optimistic figure. We have assumed that the Consumer Banking charge grows in line with lending, but that Wholesale Banking charges fall by $\$ 50 \mathrm{~m}$ to $\$ 350 \mathrm{~m}$. However, there is a risk that charges do not fall. The H 2 charge in Hong Kong was $\$ 50 \mathrm{~m}$ higher than Hl , implying a higher 2002 cost, if the run rate is sustained. It is likely to be sustained in Hl , at least. There is also a risk that the Malaysian charges have not peaked.

## Results

Standard Chartered's profits were some $\$ 100 \mathrm{~m}$ below expectations at $\$ 1,148 \mathrm{~m}$. Cash profits of $\$ 1,288 \mathrm{~m}$ were $1 \%$ down on the previous year, excluding restructuring costs and were also $\$ 100 \mathrm{~m}$ below expectations. The results are equivalent to diluted cash EPS of $\$ 0.677$, compared with $\$ 0.8$, owing to the substantial capital raisings undertaken during the year.

Normalised 876p provisioning would imply \$0.835 EPS

Pre-provisions stronger than expected; reflects ALM

Revenue did grow

Bad debts 140bp - well ahead of expectations

Our normalised bad debt assumption implies normalised cash EPS of $\$ 0.835 \mathrm{~m}, 24 \%$ above the current level. Assuming that the higher consumer loan charges are ongoing, but that the Wholesale Banking charges are a normalised 50bp of lending, rather than the $1.5 \%$ just reported, we would venture a normalised charge of 87 bp , compared with the current 138 bp . This would represent a $\$ 270 \mathrm{~m}$ reduction in 2001 bad debt charges and a $20 \%$ increase in profits. However, we would stress that there is also a high degree of uncertainty over the normalised charge.

Profits before provisions were ahead of expectations, at $\$ 2,019 \mathrm{~m}$ (versus our estimate of $\$ 1,971 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and $14 \%$ up on the year before. Revenue of $+9 \%$ and costs of $+5 \%$ were both better than estimates. Excluding acquisitions, revenue was $5 \%$ ahead, with costs $3 \%$.

The growth in revenue appears to have reflected interest rate mismatching. Management indicated that ALM activity had increased revenue by some $\$ 200 \mathrm{~m}$; this is $5 \%$ of the revenue base, equivalent to the organic revenue growth. This activity also appears to have increased in H 2 , when net interest income rose by $7 \%$, compared with H 1 . However, revenue growth was constrained by the margin erosion on the Hong Kong mortgage book, which was said to have reduced revenue by around $\$ 120 \mathrm{~m}$. Even after this, the company revealed that the Consumer businesses had generated 4\% organic revenue growth, including the benefit of wider deposit spreads from increased liquidity.

Operating expenses reflected the inclusion of acquisitions, the benefits of restructuring and investment programmes. Organic cost growth of $\$ 64 \mathrm{~m}$ was $3 \%$. Restructuring (including acquisition integration) is said to have generated savings of $\$ 149 \mathrm{~m}$. This implies that gross cost growth was $\$ 213 \mathrm{~m}$, or as much as $10 \%$. Investment spend in support of the cost synergies was $\$ 93 \mathrm{~m}$. As for a number of UK banks, this leaves us somewhat in the dark as to what the rate of cost growth is likely to be. The principal guidance provided by the company is that revenue will grow by more than costs, although there is little clarity on how this will be achieved. Net cost savings under the efficiency programme were quantified as $\$ 56 \mathrm{~m}$, compared with an expected negative figure of $\$ 59 \mathrm{~m}$, reflecting lower investment spend. Targets for the future benefits of this programme have also been increased.

Bad debt charges were the principal factor behind the lower profits; provisions increased by $\$ 261 \mathrm{~m}$ to $\$ 731 \mathrm{~m}, \$ 150 \mathrm{~m}$ ahead of our expectations. Provisions represented $1.4 \%$ of customer loans. NPLs rose by an estimated $\$ 617 \mathrm{~m}(1.1 \%$ of loans) in H 2 , before writeoffs, reaching $\$ 2,215 \mathrm{~m}, 4.2 \%$ of loans. NPLs remain $64 \%$ provided.

The bad debt charges contained some lumpy items, even if they are not necessarily oneoffs. The increase in bad debt charges reflected Malaysia ( $+\$ 105 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and $\$ 50 \mathrm{~m}$ in provisions against Argentina and a large US corporate, as well as higher charges for Hong Kong consumer lending.

Wholesale Banking 150bp Wholesale Banking provisions of $\$ 401 \mathrm{~m}$, represented $1.5 \%$ of the loan book. This is where there appears to be substantial scope for loss elimination. The Malaysian charges of $\$ 130 \mathrm{~m}$ were substantially all in Wholesale Banking, where charges of $\$ 119 \mathrm{~m}$ lagainst $\$ 21 \mathrm{~m}$ ) represented $5 \%$ of the loan book, taking the country into loss. Provisions of this scale must drop out, although not necessarily in 2002. The Malaysian charges are understood to relate to a large number of corporate, trade-related exposures. This implies that, large though the charge may be, it is unlikely to improve, before the country's economy does. It is in the Wholesale Bank that there is the scope for lower charges, although there is also uncertainty over how much. We assume a normalised charge of 50 bp , or $\$ 270 \mathrm{~m}$ lower than incurred last year.

Consumer Banking Hong Kong charge will stay high short term

Consumer Banking charges were $\$ 330 \mathrm{~m}$. Hong Kong consumer banking charges of $\$ 225 \mathrm{~m}$ represented $7 \%$ of non-mortgage consumer loans. The H2 charge was even higher; we estimate, it may have been as high as $4.3 \%$ of loans. This reflects changes in bankruptcy law and is expected to continue, at least in the short term. Standard has taken action, such as reducing limits, although these are likely to take time to lower the charge; H 1 in the current year is likely to see a similar charge to the figure suffered in H 2 .

The Tier 1 ratio of $8.8 \%$ includes an ordinary equity ratio of $5.6 \%$. This remains comfortable and is not putting the group under pressure. Despite some negative comment, the equity generation of some $\$ 300 \mathrm{~m}$ (including goodwill amortisation) is also sufficient to support risk asset growth of $\$ 4 \mathrm{bn}$, or $6 \%$.

| Standard Chartered Profits Breakdown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year End 31 Dec | 2000 | 2000 | 2001 | 2001 | 2001 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| \$ Million | FY Organic | FYA | Organic | H1A | FY Organic | FYA | FYE | FYE |
| Net Interest Income |  | 2,709 |  | 1,428 |  | 2,959 | 3,107 | 3,293 |
| Dealing Profits |  | 377 |  | 249 |  | 470 | 494 | 523 |
| Fees and commissions |  | 888 |  | 477 |  | 977 | 1,026 | 1,087 |
| Other Non-Interest Income |  | 115 |  | 33 |  | 58 | 61 | 65 |
| Total Non-Interest Income |  | 1,381 |  | 759 |  | 1,505 | 1,580 | 1,675 |
| Total Operating Income | 3,737 | 4,090 | 1,930 | 2,187 | 3,938 | 4,464 | 4,687 | 4,968 |
| Depreciation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Expenses | 2,075 | 2,320 | 1,036 | 1,199 | 2,139 | 2,445 | 2,518 | 2,632 |
| Profits Before Provisions | 1,662 | 1,770 | 894 | 988 | 1,799 | 2,019 | 2,169 | 2,337 |
| Bad Debt Provisions | 417 | 470 | 242 | 269 | 660 | 731 | 700 | 526 |
| Cont from Grindlays + Chase less Chart Trust | 55 |  | 67 |  | 149 |  |  |  |
| Profit Bef Non-op Items | 1,300 | 1,300 | 719 | 719 | 1,288 | 1,288 | 1,469 | 1,811 |
| Non-operating items | 138 | 138 | (68) | (68) | (140) | (140) | (140) | (140) |
| Profit Before Tax | 1,438 | 1,438 | 651 | 651 | 1,148 | 1,148 | 1,329 | 1,671 |
| Tax |  | 377 |  | 218 |  | 378 | 441 | 543 |
| Minority Interests |  | 6 |  | 6 |  | 12 | 15 | 15 |
| Preference Dividends |  | 53 |  | 35 |  | 127 | 182 | 182 |
| Attributable Profit |  | 1,002 |  | 392 |  | 631 | 691 | 931 |
| Ordinary Dividend |  | 424 |  | 145 |  | 475 | 523 | 586 |
| Retained Profit |  | 578 |  | 247 |  | 156 | 168 | 345 |
| EPS-diluted (c)* |  | 80.3 |  | 39.7 |  | 67.7 | 72.8 | 93.4 |
| DPS (p) |  | 38.1 |  | 12.8 |  | 42.0 | 46.2 | 51.7 |
| BVPS |  | 331 |  | 345 |  | 340 | 368 | 411 |
| * EPS excluding non-operating items |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No of Shares In Issue (m) |  | 1,086 |  | 1,128 | 1,132 | 1132 | 1,132 | 1,132 |
| Total Assets (£bn) |  | 102.4 |  | 109.7 |  | 111.9 | 118.2 | 127.6 |
| Group Net Interest Margin (\%) |  | 3.1 |  | 3.0 |  | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Shareholders' Funds (£m) |  | 3,731 |  | 3,893 |  | 3,854 | 4,162 | 4,647 |
| Dealing Profits/Total Revenue (\%) |  | 9.2 |  | 11.4 |  | 10.5 | 10.5 | 10.5 |
| Cost/Income Ratio (\%) | 55.5 | 56.7 | 53.7 | 54.8 | 54.3 | 54.8 | 53.7 | 53.0 |
| RoE (\%) |  | 24.3 |  | 23.0 |  | 19.9 | 19.8 | 22.8 |
| Provisions/Total Loans (\%) |  | 0.90 |  | 1.02 |  | 1.38 | 1.25 | 0.87 |
| Tax Rate (\%) |  | 26.2 |  | 33.5 |  | 32.9 | 33.2 | 32.5 |
| Dividend Pay-Out Ratio (\%) |  | 45.3 |  |  |  | 79.5 | 80.7 | 69.0 |
| Tier 1 Ratio (\%) |  | 7.0 |  | 8.8 |  | 8.8 | 8.8 | 8.7 |
| Total Loans |  | 51,935 |  | 52,927 |  | 53,005 | 55,973 | 60,451 |
| Risk Assets |  | 64,531 |  | 66,106 |  | 69,342 | 73,225 | 79,083 |
| Non-performing Loans |  | 2,237 |  | 2,195 |  | 2,215 | 2,490 | 2,090 |
| Loan Loss Reserves |  | 1,545 |  | 1,490 |  | 1,350 | 1,450 | 1,576 |
| LLR/NPLs |  | 69.0 |  | 67.9 |  | 60.9 | 58.2 | 75.4 |
| NPLs/Total Loans |  | 4.31 |  | 4.15 |  | 4.18 | 4.45 | 3.46 |
| Write-Offs |  | 1,005 |  | 404 |  | 1,001 | 600 | 400 |
| Unprovided NPLs to Equity |  | 18.6 |  | 18.1 |  | 22.4 | 25.0 | 11.1 |
| By Region: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hong Kong |  | 517 |  | 271 |  | 522 |  |  |
| Singapore |  | 240 |  | 150 |  | 191 |  |  |
| Malaysia |  | 122 |  |  |  | (20) |  |  |
| Other Asia Pacific |  | 9 |  |  |  | 46 |  |  |
| Total Asia Pacific |  | 888 |  | 421 |  | 739 |  |  |
| Africa |  | 106 |  | 64 |  | 117 |  |  |
| India |  | 110 |  |  |  | 122 |  |  |
| Other MESA |  | 120 |  | 155 |  | 196 |  |  |
| UK/Americas |  | 76 |  | 79 |  | 114 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1,300 |  | 719 |  | 1,288 |  |  |
| By Business Line: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer Banking |  | 765 |  | 347 |  | 656 |  |  |
| Corporate Banking |  | 558 |  | 372 |  | 632 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1,323 |  | 719 |  | 1,288 |  |  |

Source: Lehman Brothers
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## South Korea's labour market bucks the regional trend

There was more good news in South Korea this week. The seasonally-adjusted unemployment rate fell to $3.2 \%$ in January from $3.3 \%$ in December. That was the second straight monthly decline in the jobless rate, which is now at its lowest level since December 1997 (chart 1). By contrast, the unemployment rates in Japan, Taiwan, Hong Kong and Singapore are at or close to historic highs. Moreover, there is a concern that a rising share of unemployment in these countries is due to structural impediments |skill mismatch, long-term duration), which will be difficult to resolve in the short term.

Korea's ability to maintain a low unemployment rate during last year's economic downturn is testimony to the labour market's increased flexibility since the Asian crisis, helped by wide-ranging deregulation and the opening of the economy to greater competition. Lifetime employment no longer exists; instead, there has been a growing reliance on non-regular (temporary and daily) workers, a decline in unionization and increased flexibility of compensation through performance-based schemes. A pro-active policy response has also helped, with the government spending an extra US \$5.1 bn last year on labour-intensive public works projects, and on programs to improve labour skills and mobility.

Unlike other Asian countries, Korea does not have a structural unemployment problem, and so it has a good chance this year of returning to a rate of unemployment that was typical before the Asian crisis: between 2-3\%. Korea's strong labour market performance has played a major role in driving the smart recovery in domestic consumption. Indeed, this week the composite index from the National Statistics Office's survey on consumer sentiment rose to a record high of 106.7 points in January.

Figure 37: South Korea's unemployment rate (\%, seasonally adjusted)


Jan-87 Jan-89 Jan-91 Jan-93 Jan-95 Jan-97 Jan-99 Jan-01
Source: Lehman Brothers

## RECENTLY PUBLISHED ASIAN BANK RESEARCH

We have recently published the following reports. If you have not received these reports, they are available on request by telephoning 8522869 3384, by faxing 85228693133 , or by emailing asiaresearch@lehman.com. The reports are also available on First Call Research Direct, Lehman Live, and DocView.

| Number | Title | Analyst | Date | Pages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HK-1532 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 02/18/02 | 24 |
| HK-1532 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 02/11/02 | 36 |
| HK-1524 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 02/04/02 | 32 |
| HK-1519 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 01/28/02 | 28 |
| HK-1512 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 01/21/02 | 36 |
| HK-1504 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 01/14/02 | 24 |
| HK-1495 | Fubon Financial Holding Co., Ltd: Ready To Take Off! | Grant Chan | 12/24/01 | 51 |
| HK-1485 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 12/10/01 | 24 |
| HK-1478 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 12/03/01 | 36 |
| HK-1472 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 11/26/01 | 24 |
| HK-1458 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 11/05/01 | 48 |
| HK-1455 | Hong Kong Banks: Downgrading on Deteriorating Fundamentals | Grant Chan | 10/30/01 | 30 |
| HK-1453 | Asian Banks: Double-Dipping | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 10/29/01 | 160 |
| HK-1444 | Hang Seng Bank: Surprise in Store as Margins are Contracting | Grant Chan | 10/22/01 | 20 |
| HK-1441 | Raising The Thai-Tanic | Paul Sheehan | 10/18/01 | 68 |
| HK-1437 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 10/22/01 | 28 |
| HK-1431 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 10/15/01 | 60 |
| HK-1425 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 10/08/01 | 36 |
| HK-1423 | Fubon Insurance: Creating Value from Capital | Grant Chan | 10/04/01 | 48 |
| HK-1417 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 10/01/01 | 36 |
| HK-1415 | Indonesian Banks: Accidental Stars | Paul Sheehan | 09/27/01 | 48 |
| HK-1410 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 09/24/01 | 31 |
| HK-1400 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 09/17/01 | 44 |
| HK-1392 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 09/10/01 | 36 |
| HK-1383 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 09/03/01 | 39 |
| HK-1380 | Singapore Banks: What Now? | Paul Sheehan | 08/31/01 | 40 |
| HK-1377 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 08/27/01 | 32 |
| HK-1374 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 08/20/01 | 32 |
| HK-1369 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 08/13/01 | 44 |
| HK-1364 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 08/06/01 | 44 |
| HK-1359 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 07/30/01 | 40 |
| HK-1355 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 07/23/01 | 36 |
| HK-1350 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 07/16/01 | 40 |
| HK-1343 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 07/09/01 | 44 |
| HK-1338 | Hong Kong Banks: Light at the end of the Tunnel or Oncoming Train? | Grant Chan | 07/03/01 | 16 |
| HK-1336 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 07/03/01 | 32 |
| HK-1332 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 06/26/01 | 36 |
| HK-1325 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 06/18/01 | 32 |
| HK-1319 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 06/11/01 | 28 |
| HK-1314 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 06/04/01 | 24 |
| HK-1308 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 05/28/01 | 28 |
| HK-1302 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 05/21/01 | 20 |
| HK-1296 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 05/14/01 | 16 |
| HK-1290 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 05/07/01 | 68 |
| HK-1284 | 2001 Asian Banks Tour | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 04/28/01 | 84 |
| HK-1279 | Dao Heng Bank Group: DBS Offer Generates Attractive Return | Grant Chan | 04/23/01 | 16 |
| HK-1277 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 04/23/01 | 40 |
| HK-1272 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 04/17/01 | 20 |
| HK-1267 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 04/09/01 | 28 |
| HK-1264 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 04/02/01 | 32 |
| HK-1255 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 03/19/01 | 36 |
| HK-1247 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 03/12/01 | 32 |
| HK-1241 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 03/05/01 | 28 |
| HK-1232 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 02/26/01 | 32 |
| HK-1229 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 02/19/01 | 24 |
| HK-1222 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 02/12/01 | 28 |
| HK-1216 | Asian Bank Briefing | Asia Pacific Bank Team | 02/05/01 | 32 |

New York<br>3 World Financial Center<br>New York, NY 10285 USA<br>1.212.526.7000

## London

One Broadgate
London EC2M 7HA England 44.20.7601.0011

Tokyo
12-32 Akasaka 1-chome
Minato-ku Tokyo 107 Japan
813.5571.7000

## Hong Kong

One Pacific Place
88 Queensway, Hong Kong
852.2869 .3000

For additional copies of Lehman Brothers research reports, please call 85228693384 or fax 85228693133
Key to Investment Rankings: This is a guide to expected total return (price performance plus dividend) relative to the total return of the stock's local market over the next 12 months. $1=$ Strong Buy (expected to outperform the market by 15 or more percentage points); $2=$ Buy (expected to outperform the market by $5-15$ percentage points); $3=$ Market Perform (expected to perform in line with the market); $4=$ Market Underperform (expected to underperform the market by $5-15$ percentage points); $5=$ Sell (expected to underperform the market by 15 or more percentage points); $\mathbf{V}=$ Venture (return over multiyear time frame consistent with venture capital; should only be held in a well-diversified porffolio).

The information in this document has been obtained from sources believed reliable, but we do not represent that it is accurate or complete and it should not be relied upon as such. Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., its subsidiaries and affiliated companies (collectively referred to as "Lehman Brothers"), of which Lehman Brothers Asia Limited and Lehman Brothers Japan Inc. are each one, and their respective shareholders, directors, officers, and/or employees may have long or short positions in the securities or commodities. It is possible that individual brokers employed by Lehman Brothers may disagree with the recommendations in this document. The securities and commodities mentioned in this document may not be eligible for sale in some states or some countries. Please check with your Lehman Brothers representative to determine eligibility in each state or country. Lehman Brothers may make markets or deals as principal in or for the securities or commodities mentioned in this document. Any shareholder, director, officer and/or employee of Lehman Brothers may be a director of the issuer of the securities mentioned in this document. Lehman Brothers may have managed or co-managed a public offering of the securities of the issuer mentioned in this document within the last three years, or may, from time to time, perform investment banking or other services for, or solicit investment banking or other business from, any company mentioned in this document.


[^0]:    Source: Lehman Brothers

[^1]:    Source: Lehman Brothers; company reports, Datastream

[^2]:    Source: Company reports, Bank of Korea, Bloomberg, Lehman Brothers

[^3]:    Source: Company reports, Bloomberg, Lehman Brothers

[^4]:    Source: Company reports; Lehman Brothers estimates

